Problem Set 4

Ph.D. Course 2009: An Introduction to DG-FEM for solving partial differential equations

If you have not already done so, please download all the Matlab codes from the book from

http://www.nudg.org/

and store and unpack them in a directory you can use with Matlab.

We consider the prototype model for nonlinear hyperbolic conservation laws, namely the inviscid Burgers equation in one spatial dimension

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u^2}{\partial x} = g(x, t), \quad x \in [-10, 50]$$
(1)

In class and in the text there are numerous examples of shock solutions which can be found by using the Rankine-Hugoniot condition directly.

In the exercise we start by considering exact solutions of the form

$$u(x,t) = \frac{1}{\cosh^2(\varepsilon(x+5.0)-t)} + 1.$$

- Plot the function for values of ε equal to 0.1, 1, and 10 what is the effect of changing ε ?
- Derive the right-hand side, g(x, t), for Burgers equation such that the above function is an exact solution.
- Derive and implement a nodal DG-FEM scheme for solving the inviscid Burgers equation you can use the three files Burgers1Dxxx.m.
- The goal is to run your code until T=50. Try first and run the code for different values of K = 10 and N = 6, 10, 16 and $\varepsilon = 1.0$ what do you observe is the code behaving as you would expect, e.g., is there any substantial difference (other than accuracy) between the low resolution and the high resolution case ?
- Try and remove the dissipative terms in the LF flux (setting maxvel = 0 in **Burgers1DRHS.m**). What do you observe ? take K = 10 and N = 6.
- What happens when you change ε ?

Scientific Computing Section, DTU Informatics, Kgs.-Lyngby, Denmark.

• Can you explain your observations ?

Now modify your code so that you can consider the following extensions

- 1. Exact integration for the nonlinear term
- 2. Filtering for stabilization
- 3. Limiting
- Now return to the original goal of running your code until T=50. Run the code for different values of K and N using the three different approaches above what do you observe is the code behaving as you would expect? To make the case more clear you may want to remove the Lax-Friedrich dissipative term as above.
- Discuss the differences between the three approaches advantages/disadvantages.
- Study carefully the impact of the parameters in the filter, e.g., its order, and how it impact the quality of the solution.
- Implement a TVD-RK scheme for the temporal integration do you see any differences in the performance of the scheme ?

Enjoy!

Scientific Computing Section, DTU Informatics, Kgs.-Lyngby, Denmark.