Lecture - Implementation and numerical aspects of DG-FEM in 2D

Ph.D. Course: An Introduction to DG-FEM for solving partial differential equations

Allan P. Engsig-Karup Scientific Computing Section DTU Informatics Technical University of Denmark

August 24, 2009

Course content

The following topics are covered in the course

- $1\,$ Introduction & DG-FEM in one spatial dimension
- 2 Implementation and numerical aspects (1D)
- 3 Insight through theory
- 4 Nonlinear problems
- 5 Extensions to two spatial dimensions
- 6 Introduction to mesh generation
- 7 Higher-order operators
- 8 Problem with three spatial dimensions and other advanced topics

Requirements

What do we want?

- A flexible and generic framework useful for solving different problems
- Easy maintenance by a component-based setup
- Splitting of the mesh and solver problems for reusability
- Easy proto-type implementation of solvers for users

Domain of interest

We want to solve a given problem in a domain Ω which is approximated by the union of K nonoverlapping local elements D^k , k = 1, ..., K such that

$$\Omega \cong \Omega_h = \bigcup_{k=1}^K D^k$$

Thus, we need to deal with implementation issues with respect to the local elements and how they are related.

The shape of the local elements can in principle be of any shape, however, in practice we mostly consider d-dimensional simplexes (e.g. triangles in two dimensions).

Sketch and notations for a two-dimensional domain

Consider a two-dimensional domain defined on $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega_h$



a)Unstructured grid.



b)Photo from a bird's view. Source: Google Earth.

Figure: Water area surrounding an artificial island called *Middelgrunden* near the Copenhagen harbour in Denmark.

Sketch and notations for a two-dimensional domain

We choose to restrict ourselves to triangles in the following.



On each of the local elements, we choose to represent the solution locally using N_p grid points sufficient to form a complete multi-dimensional polynomial basis of order N as

$$\mathbf{x} \in D^k : u_h^k(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_p} \hat{u}_n^k(t) \psi_n(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_p} u_i^k(t) l_i^k(\mathbf{x})$$

using either a modal or nodal representation.

On the triangle, the relation between number of points N_p and polynomial order N for a complete basis¹ is

$$N_p = \frac{(N+1)(N+2)}{2}$$

¹cf. Pascals triangle

For 2D discretizations we may require that our basis functions span a complete 2D polynomial space of order N

$$\mathcal{P}^{N} = SPAN\{x^{\alpha}y^{\beta}\}, \quad \alpha, \beta \ge 0, \alpha + \beta \le N$$

which leads to a dimension of $\frac{1}{2}(N+1)(N+2)$ basis functions as deduced from Pascal's triangle



Note: It is also possible to choice an incomplete basis, or an extended basis. However, any choice of polynomial approximation space will impact the accuracy and aliasing properties of the scheme.

Similar to the 1D setup we want to map the local solutions to a reference domain where we can define the local operations.

Introduce a linear mapping Ψ which takes a general straight-sided triangle, $\mathbf{x} \in D^k$, to the reference triangle defined as

$$I = \{ \mathbf{r} = (r, s) | (r, s) \ge -1; r + s \le 0 \}$$



Fig. 6.1. Notation for the mapping between two simplices.

If D^k is spanned by three vertices v^i , i = 1, 2, 3 then

$$\mathbf{x} = \lambda^2 \mathbf{v}^1 + \lambda^3 \mathbf{v}^2 + \lambda^1 \mathbf{v}^3 = \Psi(\mathbf{r})$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} r\\ s \end{pmatrix} = \lambda^2 \begin{pmatrix} -1\\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda^3 \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda^1 \begin{pmatrix} -1\\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \Psi^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$$

where the barycentric coordinates are defined as

$$\lambda^1 = \frac{s+1}{2}, \quad \lambda^2 = -\frac{r+s}{2}, \quad \lambda^3 = \frac{r+1}{2}$$

The constant metrics of the affine mapping can be found

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} x_r & x_s \\ y_r & y_s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_x & r_y \\ s_x & s_y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

From which we can deduce the following relationships

$$\begin{array}{ll} r_x = \frac{1}{J} y_s, & r_y = -\frac{1}{J} x_s, & J = x_r y_s - x_s y_r, \\ s_x = -\frac{1}{J} y_r, & s_y = \frac{1}{J} x_r \end{array}$$

For any two straightsided triangles connected through $\Psi(\mathbf{r})$

$$\mathbf{x}_r = \frac{\mathbf{v}^2 - \mathbf{v}^1}{2}, \quad \mathbf{x}_s = \frac{\mathbf{v}^3 - \mathbf{v}^1}{2}, \quad \mathbf{v}^i = \begin{pmatrix} x^i \\ y^i \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus, for such cases the Jacobian can be expressed as

$$J = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} x^2 - x^1 \\ y^2 - y^1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} y^3 - y^1 \\ -(x^3 - x^1) \end{pmatrix}$$

or more compactly

$$J = \frac{1}{4}\hat{\mathbf{t}}_{12} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{13} = \frac{1}{4}|\hat{\mathbf{t}}_{12}||\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{13}|\cos\varphi, \quad 0 < \varphi < \frac{\pi}{2}$$

Thus J > 0 for straighsided triangles with vertices ordered counter-clockwise.

Furthermore, to determine boundary normal vectors make use of the directional transformation via chain rule

$$\begin{bmatrix} \partial_{x} \\ \partial_{y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r_{x} & s_{x} \\ r_{y} & s_{y} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{r} \\ \partial_{s} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla r & \nabla s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{r} \\ \partial_{s} \end{bmatrix}$$

which is equivalent to the action of stretching and rotation operations on the directional vector.

Thus, the normal vectors in the reference domain can be transformed as follows

$$\mathbf{n}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \mathbf{\hat{n}}_1 = -\frac{\nabla s}{||\nabla s||} \\ \mathbf{n}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \mathbf{\hat{n}}_2 = \frac{(\nabla r + \nabla s)}{||\nabla r + \nabla s||} \\ \mathbf{n}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \mathbf{\hat{n}}_3 = -\frac{\nabla r}{||\nabla r||}$$

For being able to setup our DG-FEM discretization in a generic way, we need procedures for

- computing polynomial expansions
- numerical evaluations of integrals and derivatives
- computing geometric factors

This involves

- ► identifying an orthonormal polynomial reference basis ψ_n(r) defined on the triangle I
- identifying families of point distributions that leads to good behavior of the multi-dimensional interpolating polynomial defined on *I*

We need to define a reference basis on the reference triangle

$$I = \{ \mathbf{r} = (r, s) | (r, s) \ge -1; r + s \le 0 \}$$

To do this a collapsed coordinate system is introduced through the mapping

$$a=2\frac{1+r}{1-s}, \quad b=s$$

such that the reference triangle basis can be defined on a reference quadrilateral

$$I_q=\{{f r}=(a,b)|-1\leq (a,b)\leq 1\}$$

which are suitable for using one-dimensional basis functions for the construction of a multi-dimensional basis. In the collapsed coordinate system the reference basis can be defined as

$$\psi_m(\mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{2} P_i^{(0,0)}(a) P_j^{(2i+1,0)}(b) (1-b)^i$$

where $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ is the *n*'th order Jacobi polynomial.

This makes it possible to exploit the orthogonal properties of the one-dimensional basis functions on the triangle.

For the interpolations on the triangle to be well-behaved we need to identify good positions for the N_p points on I to avoid ill-conditioning issues.

Warp & Blend procedure

The nodes on the simplex can be determined using an optimized explicit Warp & Blend construction procedure [1], cf. Nodes2D.m.



Idea is to create for each edge a warp (deformation) function

$$w(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_p} (r_i^{LGL} - r_i^{EQUI}) I_i^{EQUI}(r), \quad r \in [-1, 1]$$

that combined with a suitable blending function b^{j} , j = 1, 2, 3, can deform equidistant nodes on the simplex. Then, the sum deformations

$$\mathbf{g}(\lambda^1,\lambda^2,\lambda^3) = \sum_{j=1}^3 (1+(\alpha\lambda^j)^2) b^j \mathbf{w}^j$$

form a set of α -optimized nodes suitable for interpolation.

For stable and accurate computations we need to ensure that the generalized Vandermonde matrix ${\cal V}$ is well-conditioned. This implies minimizing the Lebesque constant

$$\Lambda = \max_{\mathbf{r} \in I} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\rho}} |I_i(\mathbf{r})|$$

and maximizing Det \mathcal{V} .



N	Fekete	Warburton	Warburton	Blyth Et. al.	Hesthaven	Equidistant
		α_{opt}	$\alpha = 0$			
	Tabular	Explicit	Explicit	Explicit	Tabular	Explicit
1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
2	1.67	1.67	1.67	1.67	1.67	1.67
3	2.11	2.11	2.11	2.11	2.11	2.27
4	2.66	2.66	2.66	2.66	2.59	3.47
5	3.12	3.12	3.14	3.14	3.19	5.45
6	4.17	3.70	3.82	3.87	4.07	8.75
7	4.91	4.27	4.55	4.65	4.78	14.35
8	5.90	4.96	5.69	5.92	5.85	24.01
9	6.80	5.74	7.02	7.38	6.88	40.92
10	7.75	6.67	9.16	9.82	8.46	70.89
11	7.89	7.90	11.83	12.90	10.14	124.53
12	8.03	9.36	16.06	17.78	12.63	221.41
13	9.21	11.47	21.17	24.12	-	397.70
14	9.72	13.97	30.33	34.12	-	720.70
15	9.97	17.65	42.48	49.51	-	1315.9

Table: Comparison of Lebesque constants Λ for some popular symmetric nodal distributions on the triangle with 1D optimal Gauss-Lobatto-Legendre distributions on edges.

The duality between using a modal or nodal interpolating polynomial representation is related through the choice of modal representation $\psi_n(\mathbf{r})$ and the distinct nodal interpolation points $\mathbf{r}_i \in I, i = 1, ..., N_p$.

We can express the local approximations as

$$u(\mathbf{r}_i) \cong u_h(\mathbf{r}_i) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_p} \hat{u}_n \psi_n(\mathbf{r}_i) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_p} u_n I_n(\mathbf{r}_i), \quad i = 1, ..., N_p$$

Thus, we find the relationship for the modal and nodal coefficients

 $\mathbf{u} = \mathcal{V} \hat{\mathbf{u}}$

where

$$\mathcal{V}_{ij} = \psi_j(\mathbf{r}_i), \quad \mathbf{\hat{u}}_i = \hat{u}_i, \quad \mathbf{u}_i = u(\mathbf{r}_i)$$

Modal basis:



Nodal basis:



Global approximation



The global solution u(x, t) can then be approximated by the direct summations of the local elemental solutions

$$u_h(x,t) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^k u_h^k(x,t)$$

Recall, at the traces of adjacent elements there will be two distinct solutions. Thus, there is an ambiguity in terms of representing the solution.

How to satisfy the PDE

Consider the PDE for a general conservation law

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \nabla f(\mathbf{u}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega$$

For the approximation of the unknown solution we make use of the expansion $u_h(x, t)$ and insert it into the PDE.

Doing this, result in an expression for the residual $\mathcal{R}_h(x, t)$. We require that the test functions are orthogonal to the residual function

$$\mathcal{R}_h(\mathbf{x},t) = \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h + \nabla f(\mathbf{u}_h)$$

in the Galerkin sense as

$$\int_{D^k} \mathcal{R}_h(\mathbf{x}, t) \psi_n(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 0, \quad 1 \le n \le N_p$$

on each of the k = 1, ..., K elements.

Standard notation for elements

It is customary to refer to the interior information of the element by a superscript "-" and the exterior by a "+".

Furthermore, this notation is used to define the average operator

$$\{\{\mathbf{u}\}\} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{u}^- + \mathbf{u}^+}{2}$$

where u can be both a scalar and a vector.

Jumps can be defined along an outward point normal $\hat{\boldsymbol{n}}$ (to the element in question) as

$$[[u]] \equiv \hat{\mathbf{n}}^{-}u^{-} + \hat{\mathbf{n}}^{+}u^{+}$$
$$[[\mathbf{u}]] \equiv \hat{\mathbf{n}}^{-} \cdot \mathbf{u}^{-} + \hat{\mathbf{n}}^{+} \cdot \mathbf{u}^{+}$$

As we have seen, in a DG-FEM discretization we can apply a polynomial expansion of arbitrary order within each element.

To exploit this in a code we need procedures for

- computing polynomial expansions (already in place)
- numerical evaluation of integrals and derivatives
- setting up means for stabilization through filtering

For implementations local operators needs to be defined.

Consider the mass matrix \mathcal{M}^k for the k'th straightsided element

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij}^{k} = \int_{D^{k}} l_{i}^{k}(\mathbf{x}) l_{j}^{k}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \mathcal{J}^{k} \int_{I} l_{i}(\mathbf{r}) l_{j}(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} = \mathcal{J}^{k} \mathcal{M}_{ij}$$

with $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}}$ the standard mass matrix constructed using an orthonormal basis as

$$\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{V}\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{T}})^{-1}$$

Consider the stiffness matrices $\nabla S^k = (S_x^k, S_y^k)^T$ for the k'th element defined as

$$S_{x,ij}^{k} = \int_{D^{k}} l_{i}^{k}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{dl_{j}^{k}(\mathbf{x})}{dx} d\mathbf{x} = S_{x,ij}$$
$$S_{y,ij}^{k} = \int_{D^{k}} l_{i}^{k}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{dl_{j}^{k}(\mathbf{x})}{dy} d\mathbf{x} = S_{y,ij}$$

Reall, in 2D we have from the chain rule the directional mappings

$$\mathcal{D}_{x} = r_{x}\mathcal{D}_{r} + s_{x}\mathcal{D}_{s}$$
$$\mathcal{D}_{y} = r_{y}\mathcal{D}_{r} + s_{y}\mathcal{D}_{s}$$

where relationships for the metrics was derived earlier.

The differentiation matrices on the simplex is similar to 1D case

$$\mathcal{D}_r = \mathcal{V}^{-1} \mathcal{V}_r, \quad \mathcal{D}_s = \mathcal{V}^{-1} \mathcal{V}_s$$

where the gradients of the basis functions are needed

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_{r,(i,j)} &= \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial r} \Big|_{\mathbf{r}_i} = a_r \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial a} \Big|_{\mathbf{a}_i}, \qquad a_r = \frac{2}{1-s} \\ \mathcal{V}_{s,(i,j)} &= \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial s} \Big|_{\mathbf{r}_i} = a_s \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial a} \Big|_{\mathbf{a}_i} + \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial b} \Big|_{\mathbf{a}_i}, \quad a_s = -2 \frac{(1+r)}{(1-s)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Then, the stiffness matrices can be determined as

$$S_x = \mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{D}_x, \quad S_y = \mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{D}_y, \quad S_r = \mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{D}_r, \quad S_s = \mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{D}_s$$

For stabilization purposes filtering can also be employed in 2D.

Filtering in 2D can be carried out by filtering the expansion coefficients \hat{u} of the reference basis as

$$\mathcal{F}u(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-i} \sigma\left(\frac{i+j}{N}\right) \hat{u}_{ij}\phi_{ij}(\mathbf{x})$$

In practice, this is implemented through a filter matrix

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{V} \Lambda \mathcal{V}^{-1}$$

where the diagonal spectral filter matrix is

$$\Lambda_{mm} = \sigma\left(\frac{i+j}{N}\right), \quad m = j + (N+1)i + 1 - \frac{j}{2}(i-1),$$

with $(i,j) \ge 0$, $i+j \le N$. See **Filter2D.m**.

A useful filter is the exponential cut-off filter

$$\sigma(i, N_c, \alpha, s) = \begin{cases} 1 & , 0 \le i < N_c \\ \exp\left(-\alpha \left(\frac{i - N_c}{N - N_c}\right)^s\right) & , N_c \le i \le N \end{cases}$$

where *i* is the modal index, $0 \le N_c \le N$ is the cut-off frequency (order), α is a tunable parameter, and *s* is the filter order.

The filter function has the following asymptotic properties

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \sigma(i, N_c, \alpha, s) \to 0, \quad s \text{ fixed}, N_c \leq i < N \\ &\lim_{\alpha \to 0} \sigma(i, N_c, \alpha, s) \to 1, \quad s \text{ fixed}, N_c \leq i < N \\ &\lim_{s \to \infty} \sigma(i, N_c, \alpha, s) \to 1, \quad \alpha \text{ fixed}, N_c \leq i < N \\ &\sigma(i, N_c, \alpha, s) = \exp(-\alpha), \quad i = N \end{split}$$

which gives good control in fine-tuning of a damping profile.



Exponential cut-off filter damping profiles for different parameters.



Examples of exponential cut-off filter damping profiles.

Integration can be done using the mass matrix (matrix based) or via numerical quadrature or cubature rules in respectively single or multi-dimensions.

- Integration via the mass matrix requires its construction
 - ► Integral is exact for polynomial orders of at most 2*N* with a polynomial basis of order *N*.
- Integration via quadrature/cubature rules requires use of specific nodes and weights
 - quadrature/cubature nodes can be reached via interpolation

Pitfalls that might affect the overall accuracy of the scheme

- Aliasing errors in integrand
- Insufficient order of accuracy of rule in question
- Integrand cannot be represented exactly by a polynomial

To approximate multi-dimensional integrals, we can use cubature rules which takes the general form

$$\int_{I} f(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} \cong \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} f(\mathbf{r}_i^c) w_i^c$$

based on a set of N_c nodes, \mathbf{r}_i^c , and associated weights, w_i^c .

The order of accuracy of a cubature rule is determined by the maximum order polynomial that can be integrated exactly.

For the approximation of integrals, e.g. inner products, we need to interpolate the integrand to the set of cubature integration points to use the cubature rule.

See **Cubature2D.m**, which gives tabulated nodes and weights for cubature rules on the triangle useful for the exact integration of polynomials of a specified order N in the argument.

A summary of useful scripts for the 2D element operators in Matlab

Vandermonde2D	Compute \mathcal{V}
GradVandermonde2D	Compute gradients of modal basis V_r, V_s
xytors	Maps (x,y) to (r,s) coordinates in the triangles
Nodes2D	Compute (x,y) nodes in equilateral triangle
rstoab	Maps (r,s) to (a,b) "collapsed" coordinates
Simplex2DP	Orthonormal basis on the 2D simplex
GradSimplex2DP	Derivatives of orthonormal basis on the 2D simplex
Dmatrices2D	Compute $\mathcal{D}_r, \mathcal{D}_s$
Filter2D	Initialize 2D filter matrix
InterpMatrix2D	Compute local 2D elemental interpolation matrix

A summary of useful scripts for the 2D element operations in Matlab

Grad2D	Compute 2D gradient
Div2D	Compute 2D divergence of vector field
Curl2D	Compute 2D curl operation

Examples of element-wise operations (2D) in Matlab

• Compute spatial derivatives ∇u_h

```
>> [ux,uy] = Grad2D(u);
```

• Compute divergence of vector field $\nabla \cdot (u_h, v_h)^T$

```
>> [divu] = Div2D(u,v);
```

Prototyping and setup made simple!

Assembling the grid

Assembling the grid



We want to (semi-)automatically

- 1 Generate mesh for domain topology
- 2 Build local mesh data based on user input, e.g. x, y
- 3 Geometrical data, i.e. normals and metrics
- 4 Build index maps for easy user setup of boundary conditions

Note: Some user input may be required for stage 1 and stage 4.

Bookkeeping is the main problem....

Assembling the grid



Now, we have (from some favorite mesh generator)

Basic global mesh data tables, i.e. VX, VY and EToV

$$VX = [-1 \ 1 \ 1 \ -1];$$

$$VY = [-1 \ -1 \ 1 \ 1];$$

$$EToV = [1 \ 2 \ 4;$$

$$2 \ 3 \ 4];$$

More mesh data tables



It is useful to define some additional mesh tables (Connect2D.m)

These tables are useful for the pre-processing of the standard solver, e.g. index maps and local operators.

General rule: create mesh data tables as needed if it makes the setup easier.

Local data



On the reference triangle element *I* the nodes $\mathbf{r} = (r, s)$ are found using the explicit Warp & Blend procedure

>> [x,y] = Nodes2D(N); [r,s] = xytors(x,y);

For all the local elements D^k , k = 1, ..., K, the local physical coordinates $\mathbf{x}^k = (x^k, y^k)$ can be determined alltogether as

```
>> v1 = EToV(:,1); v2 = EToV(:,2); v3 = EToV(:,3);
>> L1 = 0.5*(s+1); L2 = -0.5*(r+s); L3 = 0.5*(r+1);
>> x = L2*VX(v1) + L3*VX(v2) + L1*VX(v3);
>> y = L2*VY(v1) + L3*VY(v2) + L1*VY(v3);
```

This defines the set and ordering of the global nodal numbers.

Metrics of the mapping

The metric of the mapping can be calculated by utilizing the local derivative operators \mathcal{D}_r and \mathcal{D}_s on the local coordinates (x^k, y^k)

```
function [rx,sx,ry,sy,J] = GeometricFactors2D(x,y,Dr,Ds)
% function [rx,sx,ry,sy,J] = GeometricFactors2D(x,y,Dr,Ds)
% Purpose : Compute the metric elements for the
% local mappings of the elements
% Calculate geometric factors
xr = Dr*x; xs = Ds*x; yr = Dr*y; ys = Ds*y; J = -xs.*yr + xr.*ys;
rx = ys./J; sx =-yr./J; ry =-xs./J; sy = xr./J;
return;
```

Note: Data for all elements are processed at once using matrix-matrix products.

Geometric factors



For the DG-FEM setup we need locally at every node on each face

• the outward pointing normal vector $\hat{\mathbf{n}}^i$, i = 1, 2, 3

▶ the surface Jacobians, J_s^i , and the Jacobian of mapping, J This defines the set and ordering of the global face nodal numbers.

$$\hat{n}^1 = -rac{
abla s}{||
abla s||} = -rac{J}{J_s^1} \left(egin{array}{c} s_x \ s_y \end{array}
ight) = rac{1}{J_s^1} \left(egin{array}{c} y_r \ -x_r \end{array}
ight)$$
 $||\hat{n}^1|| = rac{1}{J_s^1} \sqrt{y_r^2 + x_r^2} = 1, \quad J_s^1 = \sqrt{x_r^2 + y_r^2}$

Surface jacobians and normal vector components computed in **Normals2D**.

Index maps for imposing BCs I

For imposing boundary conditions on the local elements, we create special index maps for imposing different types of boundary conditions, cf. lecture on Mesh generation & Appendix B. Two types of maps will be useful.

Index maps collecting face nodes from volume nodes (sets of global nodal numbers)

vmapMVector of global nodal numbers at faces for interior values $u^- = u(vmapM)$ vmapPVector of global nodal numbers at faces for exterior values $u^+ = u(vmapP)$ vmapBVector of global nodal numbers at faces for boundary values $u^-(\partial \Omega_h) = u(vmapB)$

Index maps for modifying collected face nodes (sets of global face nodal numbers), e.g.

- mapM Vector of indices to global face nodal numbers of interior face values
- mapP Vector of indices to global face nodal numbers of exterior face values
- mapB Vector of indices to global face nodal numbers of boundary face values

Index maps for imposing BCs II

Index maps of type **vmap** can be used for creating/modification of the face arrays of size $Nfaces \cdot K \cdot Nfp$.

Index maps of type **map** can be used for appropriate modification of the face arrays.

Some standard index maps are setup in **BuildMaps2D.m**.

Special index maps can be created using a **BCType** table storing information about the type of boundary for each face of every element in the mesh.

Note: **vmaps** are volume index maps and **maps** are face maps.

Putting the pieces together...

Consider the 2D linear advection equation

$$\partial_t u + c_x \partial_x u + c_y \partial_y u = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega$$

with IC conditions

$$u(\mathbf{x},0) = \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{L_x}x\right)\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{L_y}y\right)$$

The exact solution to this problem is given as

$$u(\mathbf{x},t) = \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{L_x}(x-c_x t)\right)\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{L_y}(y-c_y t)\right), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega$$

which is used for specifying boundary conditions $\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega_h$ where $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{c} < 0$ (incoming characteristics).

The DG-FEM method for the 2D linear advection equation on the k'th element is

$$\int_{D^k} l_i^k(\mathbf{x}) \partial_t u_h^k d\mathbf{x} + \int_{D^k} l_i^k(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}_h^k d\mathbf{x} = 0, \quad \mathbf{f}_h^k = \mathbf{c} u_h^k$$

where the local solution is approximated as

$$u_h^k(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_p} u_h^k(\mathbf{x}_i^k,t) I_i^k(\mathbf{x})$$

By integration by parts twice and exchanging the numerical flux

$$\int_{D^k} l_i^k(\mathbf{x}) \partial_t u_h^k d\mathbf{x} + \int_{D^k} l_i^k(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}^k d\mathbf{x} = \oint_{\partial D^k} l_i^k \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\mathbf{f}_h^k - \mathbf{f}_h^*) d\mathbf{x}$$

where $i = 1, ..., N_p$.

By inserting the local approximation for the solution we can now obtain the local semidiscrete scheme

$$\mathcal{M}^{k}\frac{du_{h}^{k}}{dt} + (c_{x}\mathcal{S}_{x} + c_{y}\mathcal{S}_{y})u_{h}^{k} = \oint_{\partial D^{k}} I_{h}^{k}\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\mathbf{f}_{h}^{k} - \mathbf{f}_{h}^{*})d\mathbf{x}$$

Then, we need to pick a suitable numerical flux \mathbf{f}_h^* for the problem, e.g. upwinding according to the characteristics

$$\mathbf{f}_{h}^{k,*}(u_{h}^{k,-},u_{h}^{k,+}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{c}u_{h}^{k,-}, & \mathbf{c}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{n}} \ge 0\\ \mathbf{c}u_{h}^{k,+}, & \mathbf{c}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{n}} < 0 \end{cases}$$

which leads to a stable scheme.

To solve the system in time, apply a suitable ODE solver, e.g. a Runge-Kutta method.

To solve a semidiscrete problem of the form

$$\frac{du_h}{dt} = \mathcal{L}_h(u_h, t)$$

employ some appropriate ODE solver to deal with time, e.g. the low-storage explicit fourth order Runge-Kutta method $(LSERK4)^2$

$$\mathbf{p}^{(0)} = \mathbf{u}^{n}$$

$$i \in [1, ..., 5] : \begin{cases} \mathbf{k}^{(i)} = a_{i} \mathbf{k}^{(i-1)} + \Delta t \mathcal{L}_{h}(\mathbf{p}^{(i-1)}, t^{n} + c_{i} \Delta t) \\ \mathbf{p}^{(i)} = \mathbf{p}^{(i-1)} + b_{i} \mathbf{k}^{(i)} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{h}^{n+1} = \mathbf{p}^{(5)}$$

For every element, the time step size Δt has to obey a CFL condition of the form

$$\Delta t \leq \frac{C}{a} \min_{k,i} \Delta x_i^k$$

²See book p. 64.

To build your own solver using the DGFEM codes

AdvecDriver2D Advec2D AdvecRHS2D Matlab main function for solving the 2D advection equation. Matlab function for time integration of the semidiscrete PDE. Matlab function defining right hand side of semidiscrete PDE



Programming effort comparable to 1D case

A summary of preprocessing scripts for 2D DG-FEM computations in Matlab

Globals2D Startup2D MeshGenDistMesh2D BuildMaps2D BuildBCMaps2D Normals2D Connect2D GeometricFactors2D Lift2D dtscale2D (Hrefine2D) Define list of globals variables Main script for pre-processing Generates a simple square grid using DistMesh Automatically create index maps from conn. and bc tables Construct special index maps for imposing BC's Compute outward pointing normals at elements faces Build global connectivity arrays for 2D grid Compute metrics of local mappings Compute surface integral term in DG formulation Compute characteristic inscribed circle diameter for grid Apply non-conforming refinement to specific elements

How to use create a simple square initial mesh in Matlab

```
>> NN = 3; % numbers of vertices on an edge, adjustable
>> X = linspace(-1,1,NN);
>> [VX,VY]= meshgrid(X,X);
>> VX = VX(:)';
>> VY = VY(:)';
>> EToV = delaunay(VX,VY);
>> triplot(EToV,VX,VY,'k') % show mesh
>> K = size(EToV,1);
>> Nv = length(VX(:));
```

Note: more on mesh generation in the Lecture on mesh generation tomorrow.

How to use hrefine.m for hp-convergence tests

```
>> refineflag = 1:K;  % mark elements for refinement
>> Hrefine2D(refineflag); % modify EToV
```

Variables and mesh tables in global scope via **Globals2D.m**, thus only need to state which elements needs to be refined through the **refineflag** vector.

Note: **Hrefine2D.m** can be used for non-conforming refinement if the code are setup to exploit this.

```
% Driver script for solving the 2D advection equation
Globals2D:
% Polynomial order used for approximation
N = 6;
% Create Mesh
NN = 6;
X = linspace(-1, 1, NN):
[VX,VY] = meshgrid(X,X); VX = VX(:)'; VY = VY(:)';
EToV = delaunay(VX,VY);
K = size(EToV.1): Nv = length(VX(:)):
% Initialize solver and construct grid and metric
StartUp2D;
% Set initial conditions
Lx = 2; Ly = 2; u = sin(2*pi/Lx*x).*sin(2*pi/Ly*y);
cx = 1: cv = 0.1: % advection speed vector
% Solve Problem
FinalTime = 1:
[u.time] = Advec2D(u. FinalTime. cx. cv);
```

```
function [u.time] = Advec2D(u, FinalTime, cx, cv, alpha)
% function [u,time] = Advec2D(u, FinalTime, cx, cy)
% Purpose : Integrate 2D advection equation until FinalTime starting with
%
            initial coendition u
Globals2D:
time = 0:
% Runge-Kutta residual storage
resu = zeros(Np,K);
% compute time step size
rLGL = JacobiGQ(0,0,N); rmin = abs(rLGL(1)-rLGL(2));
dtscale = dtscale2D; dt = min(dtscale)*rmin*2/3
% outer time step loop
tstep = 0;
while (time<FinalTime)
 tstep= tstep+1:
 if(time+dt>FinalTime), dt = FinalTime-time; end
   for INTRK = 1.5
       timelocal = time + rk4c(INTRK)*dt;
       [rhsu] = AdvecRHS2Dupwind(u, timelocal, cx, cy);
       resu = rk4a(INTRK)*resu + dt*rhsu;
       u = u + rk4b(INTRK) * resu:
   end
   % Increment time
   time = time+dt:
end
return
```



- Upwind flux gives as expected ideal convergence $\mathcal{O}(h^{N+1})$
- Advection speed vector, $\mathbf{c} = (1.0, 0.1)$
- Dirichlet boundary conditions specified where characteristics are incoming
- Hrefine2D.m used to generate fine meshes from initial coarse mesh.

References I



An explicit construction for interpolation nodes on the simplex.

J. Engineering Math., 56(3):247-262, 2006.