# Solutions for Exercises, Week 12

# 1. Solution for Concurrent Systems Exam December 2006, Problem 2

Question 2.1



### Question 2.2

Corresponding to the Petri-net, we introduce a semaphore *DoneA* that counts the number of *A*-operations executed. *C* may then be executed after *n* P-operations on *DoneA*. *Q* controls the final synchronization by awaiting a signal from each finished *B*-operation on a semaphore *DoneB* and then signalling each process  $P_i$  on a private semaphore GoA[i]:

<b>var</b> DoneA : semaphore;	// Counts no. of A's done
DoneB : $semaphore;$	// Counts no. of B's done
GoA[1n] : semaphore;	// OK to start $A_i$ again.

All semaphores are initialized to 0

<b>process</b> $P[i : 1n];$	process $Q$ ;
repeat	repeat
$A_i$ ;	for $j$ in 1 $n$ do $P(DoneA)$ ;
V(DoneA);	C;
$B_i$ ;	for $j$ in 1 $n$ do $P(DoneB)$ ;
V(DoneB);	for $j$ in 1 $n$ do $V(GoA[j])$
P(GoA[i])	forever
forever	

[It is **not** possible to replace GoA[1..n] with a common semaphore since a P process may wait again immediately after a wait and thereby could consume a token destined for another process.]

## Question 2.3

```
monitor Sync
  var adone : integer := 0;
                                           // No. of A's done
                                           // No. of B's and C done
      done : integer := 0;
                                           // Wait for all A's done
      OkC : condition;
      Alldone : condition;
                                           // Wait for all B's and C done
  procedure EndA()
    adone := adone + 1;
    if adone = n then signal(OkC)
  procedure StartC()
    while adone < n do wait(OkC);
    adone := 0
  procedure Done()
    done := done + 1;
    if done < n+1 then wait(Alldone)
                    else done := 0;
                         signal\_all(Alldone)
end
process P[i:1..n];
                              process Q;
```

```
process P[i : 1..n];process Q;repeatrepeatA_i;Sync.StartC();Sync.EndA();C;B_i;Sync.Done()Sync.Done()foreverforeverforever
```

[Solution assumes no spurious wake-ups.]

# 2. Solution for Concurrent Systems Exam December 2008, Problem 3

#### Question 3.1

The operation must be declared as:

**op** get\_users() **returns** integer;

and be accepted unconditionally by adding the following branch to both the inner and outer **in** statements:

 $\parallel get\_users()$  returns integer  $\rightarrow$  return users

#### Question 3.2

The module VarReg must be initialized with N = m.

Writer:	set(0);	Readers:	acquire();
	writing		reading
	set(m);		release();

#### Question 3.3

(a)



- (b) If the free C instance is granted to  $P_2$ , it may finish. Then  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  can finish in arbitrary order. Since all processes can finish, the situation would normally be called *safe*.
- (c) If  $P_3$  calls  $Reg_C.acquire()$  (before  $P_2$  does) and henceforth  $P_1$  calls  $Reg_B.acquire()$  and  $P_2$  calls  $Reg_C.acquire()$ , all processes will have standing requests which cannot be fulfilled, since all instances are acquired. Hence the system has deadlocked.
- (d) An attempt is made to reserve the resources according to a strict ordering:

In  $P_1$ ,  $Reg_C.acquire()$  and  $Reg_A.acquire()$  are exchanged. In  $P_2$ ,  $Reg_B.acquire()$  and  $Reg_C.acquire()$  are exchanged. In  $P_3$ ,  $Reg_C.acquire()$  and  $Reg_A.acquire()$  are exchanged.

Hereby, the resources are reserved in the order: A, C and B.

There is a problem though, since  $P_3$  reserves its two A instances in two rounds and hence the principle of deadlock prevention by strict ordering does not apply directly. However, since  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  only need one A instance each, there is always one instance "reserved" for  $P_3$ . We may think of this as being taken by the first call of  $Reg_A.acquire()$  in  $P_3$  and may henceforth be ignored. Deadlock freedom then follows from the ordering principle applied to the remaining resources.

#### Question 3.4

The operations are assumed to act upon the following shared variables:

**var** users : integer := 0; max : natural := N; setting : boolean := false;

Now, the operations may be specified by:

acquire():	$\langle users < max \rightarrow users := users + 1 \rangle$
release():	$\langle  users := users - 1  \rangle$
set(k:natural):	$\langle \neg setting \rightarrow max := k; setting := true \rangle;$
	$\langle users \leq max \rightarrow setting := false \rangle$

### Question 3.5

```
(a)
         monitor VarReq
           var users : integer := 0;
               max : natural := N;
               setting : boolean := false;
               Room, SizeOk, Done : condition;
           procedure acquire() {
             while users \geq max do wait(Room);
             users := users + 1;
             if users < max then signal(Room)
                                                          — Cascade wakeup
           }
           procedure release() {
             users := users - 1;
             if users = max then signal(SizeOk);
             if users < max then signal(Room);
           }
           procedure set(k : natural) {
             while setting do wait(Done);
             max := k;
             setting := true;
             while users > max do wait(SizeOk);
             setting := false;
             signal(Done);
             if users < max then signal(Room);
           }
         end
```

(b) Calls of *acquire*() should wait only if there is no room in the region:

$$I \stackrel{\Delta}{=} waiting(Room) > 0 \Rightarrow users \ge max$$

However, with the chosen cascade wakeup, this has to be relaxed in order to take leaving calls into account:

$$I' \stackrel{\Delta}{=} waiting(Room) > 0 \land woken(Room) = 0 \Rightarrow users \ge max$$