# Solutions for Exercises, Week 10

# 1. Solution for Exam June 1994, Problem 3

#### Question 3.1

Before each round,  $P_2$  must synchronize with *either*  $P_1$  or  $P_3$ . A Petri-net expressing this is:



# Question 3.2

[A synchronization can be implemented by signalling forth and back using two semaphores. A choice between two synchronizations is then implemented by using a common semaphore for the signalling:]

```
var S_{AC}, S_B : semaphore := 0;
```

process $P_A =$	process $P_B =$	process $P_C =$
repeat	repeat	$\mathbf{repeat}$
$wait(S_{AC});$	$signal(S_{AC});$	$wait(S_{AC});$
$signal(S_B);$	$wait(S_B);$	$signal(S_B);$
A	B	C
forever;	forever;	forever;

[Alternatively, *wait* and *signal* may be exchanged in all three processes.]

### 2. Solution for Andrews Ex. 8.9

- (a) A solution giving priority to writers is shown in [Andrews p.388] using the facility to query the number pending calls of an operation *op* to block readers when there are pending writers.
- (b) A fair solution can be obtained from the above solution by explicitly processing the readers inbetween the writers. Using our notation, we get:

```
module Readers Writers
  op read(var T);
  op write(T);
body
  op startread();
  op endread();
  var val : T;
  proc read(var r : T)
    startread();
    r := val;
    endread()
  process Writer =
    var nr : integer := 0;
    repeat
      in startread() and ?write = 0 \rightarrow nr := nr + 1
       endread()
                                        \rightarrow nr := nr - 1
       \| write(v : T) and nr = 0 \rightarrow val := v
                                           while ?startread > 0 do
                                              in startread() \rightarrow nr := nr + 1 ni
       \mathbf{ni}
    forever:
```

```
end Readers Writers;
```

Although extra readers may slip through while the reader group is started in the *write* branch, they cannot recur at the *startread* queue as they cannot pass *endread*. So for a finite number of readers, the *startread* queue will eventually be emptied.

If only the readers waiting when the write has ended should be started, the **while** loop may be replaced by:

for *i* in 1..?startread do in startread()  $\rightarrow$  nr := nr + 1 ni

#### 3. Solution for Andrews Ex. 8.12

Assuming that a guard using the function that gives the number of pending operation calls is re-eavaluated whenever a call is made, we can do with:

```
module Barrier

op arrive();

body

process Control =

var nr : integer := 0;

repeat

in arrive() and ?arrive >= n \rightarrow for i in 1..n - 1 do

in arrive() \rightarrow skip ni

ni

forever;

end Barrier;
```

If such size-dependent guards were not reevaluated, we could instead nest n accepts within each other by recursion:

```
module Barrier

op arrive();

body

procedure meet(k : integer)

in arrive() \rightarrow if k > 1 then meet(k-1) ni

process Control =

var nr : integer := 0;

repeat

meet(n)

forever;

end Barrier;
```

**Aside:** In Ada neither of these solutions are possible since the *count*-attribute is not reevaluated and **accept**-statements can occur only in the main loop of a task (not within procedures). Instead the *requeue* facility must be used.

[Solutions for Exam Dec. 2018 and Dec. 2020 will appear on the material page.]