

## Bayesian Inference and Variational Methods for X-ray CT

### Exercise 1

Run the MATLAB script for generating pseudo-random measurement data from the lecture notes. Inspect the transmission sinogram (the variable `T`) using MATLAB's `imagesc` function. Use the interval `[0,1.1]` as the display range and add a colorbar:

```
imagesc(T, [0,1.1]), axis image
colorbar
```

Change some parameters in the script and regenerate the measurement data. What happens to the transmission sinogram? Try to answer the following questions experimentally:

- What happens to the noise (the variable `E`) in the transmission sinogram when you increase/decrease the source photon flux (the variable `N0`)?
- What happens to the transmission sinogram contrast when you increase/decrease the phantom intensity (*i.e.*, change `uscale`)?
- What happens to the noise level in the transmission sinogram when you increase/decrease the phantom intensity (*i.e.*, change `uscale`)?

### Exercise 2

1. Show that the function

$$g(u) = N_0 \mathbf{1}^T \exp(-Au) + y^T Au$$

is a convex function of  $u$ .

2. Derive the first-order necessary optimality condition for the ML estimation problem

$$\hat{u}_{\text{ml}} = \underset{u}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{g(u)\}.$$

3. Show that the gradient of  $g(u)$  is Lipschitz continuous on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ .
4. Let  $b = -\log(y/N_0)$  where the logarithm is applied elementwise (we will assume that  $y$  is positive so that the elements of  $b$  are finite). Show that if the system of equations  $Au = b$  is consistent, then any  $u \in \{u \mid Au = b\}$  satisfies the first-order optimality condition. (This implies that solving the ML estimation problem is equivalent to solving the consistent set of equations  $Au = b$ .)

### Exercise 3

Recall that we obtain a MAP estimate if we maximize the posterior PDF

$$f_{\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{y}}(u|\mathbf{y} = y) = \frac{\Pr(\mathbf{y} = y|u)f_{\mathbf{u}}(u)}{\Pr(\mathbf{y} = y)}$$

where  $f_{\mathbf{u}}(u)$  is the prior PDF associated with  $\mathbf{u}$ . If we assume that  $\mathbf{u} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \gamma^{-1}I)$ , we get the MAP estimation problem

$$\hat{u}_{\text{map}} = \underset{u}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ g(u) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|u\|_2^2 \right\},$$

where  $g(u) = N_0 \exp(-Au) + y^T Au$ . Alternatively, using the weighted least-squares approximation of the likelihood function, we obtain the regularized weighted least-squares estimate

$$\hat{u}_{\text{rwls}} = \underset{u}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|Au - b\|_W^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|u\|_2^2 \right\}$$

where  $W = \mathbf{diag}(y)$ .

1. Implement a gradient descent method for the regularized weighted least-squares problem. Your implementation should
  - allow the user to specify the weights
  - use a constant step size
  - use power iteration to estimate a Lipschitz constant
  - choose a suitable stopping criterion based on the first-order optimality condition
  - as an option, allow the user to specify an initial guess.
2. Even when the measurements are assumed to be samples from a Poisson distribution, a simple least-squares estimate  $\hat{x}_{\text{ls}} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T u$  is very often used in practice due to its simplicity. Set the weight matrix,  $W$ , to the identity matrix and compute a reconstruction using the gradient descent method. Compare the reconstruction with one obtained using the weights  $W = \mathbf{diag}(y)$ , and describe the difference between the two reconstructions.