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ABSTRACT

The increasing diffusion of cloud technologies offers new opportunities for distributed and collaborative computing. Volunteer clouds are a prominent example, where participants join and leave the platform and collaborate by sharing computational resources. The high complexity, dynamism and unpredictability of such scenarios call for decentralized self-* approaches. We present in this paper a framework for the design and evaluation of self-adaptive collaborative task execution strategies in volunteer clouds. As a byproduct, we propose a novel strategy based on the Ant Colony Optimization paradigm, that we validate through simulation-based statistical analysis over Google cluster data.

Categories and Subject Descriptors
C.1.4 [Parallel Architectures]: Distributed architectures; I.2.8 [Artificial Intelligence]: Problem Solving, Control Methods, and Search; I.2.11 [Artificial Intelligence]: Distributed Artificial Intelligence; D.2.11 [Software Engineering]: Software Architectures

General Terms
Distributed Algorithms, Distributed Architectures, Performance

Keywords
cloud computing, volunteer computing, self-* systems, ant colony optimization, bio-inspired algorithms, spatial computing, peer-to-peer, distributed tasks execution

1. INTRODUCTION

The wide adoption of the cloud computing paradigm is increasing the efforts of the research community on investigating and developing approaches and techniques for engineering cloud-based platforms. A special attention is being devoted to resource management and optimization. Usually, cloud service providers arrange their resources in sites that cooperate within the domain of the same company. However, new peer-to-peer (P2P), decentralized, open-world paradigms such as Volunteer Computing [15] are gaining popularity. Such paradigms envision platforms where, in addition to data centers, less powerful computational devices participate to share and use each others’ resources, and are characterized by a high, unpredictable dynamism (participants may leave and join at any time) and heterogeneity (participants may share and need different computational resources). We refer the interested reader to [21, 37] for a comparative discussion on grid and cloud computing and to [15] for an introduction to volunteer computing.

The success of the volunteer computing paradigm is witnessed by a large set of existing platforms: BOINC [8], HTCondor [36], OurGrid [10], Seattle [12], SETI@home [9] and ScienceCloud [26], to mention a few. Most of them rely on distributed hash tables to save and locate resources in the network. Task execution requests are submitted (often in batch mode) to a centralized node manager, in charge of finding the most promising nodes to execute them. Such a centralized control mechanism may suffer from a central-point-of-failure problem and may also be a bottleneck when the number of the involved volunteer nodes scales to the size of a typical cloud. Moreover, maintaining a global knowledge of the status on each node is clearly hard to be realized in practice.

Since global coordination and optimization techniques can be hardly applied to volunteer clouds, agent-based techniques such as Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) [20] and Spatial Computing [33] have been advocated (e.g. in [35]) as a promising solution to the above mentioned problems of centralized solutions, since they have been often shown to provide flexible and scalable solutions to distributed computing problems, such as collaborative task execution (see e.g. [18] and the references therein).

In this paper, we present a framework for the design and engineering of highly efficient volunteer cloud platforms with collaborative task execution. Such a framework builds on top of our prototype cloud simulator [6], which we extend here in several directions. First, in §2 we introduce a distributed platform-layer data structure — called Colored Computational Field — inspired by spatial fields, routing tables and ACO’s pheromone-based stigmergy, which provides a suitable basis for many agent-based collaborative task execution algorithms. Second, by means of the aforementioned
framework, in §3 we define a highly parametric ACO-based algorithm, offering a decentralized solution characterized by lightweight ant agents, which maintain and exploit the colored computational field without requiring any additional data structures. In §4 we validate our approach by reporting an excerpt of the experimental evaluation of the ACO-based algorithm we have conducted, where we assess the performance of various alternatives and parameters, and we compare the algorithm against some standard load balancing strategies. Our benchmark is based on the workload of the Google cluster data [22]. In §3 we discuss our sources of inspiration and further related work. Finally, in §6 we provide some concluding remarks and outline our current and future research efforts.

All in all, our work provides (i) a flexible framework where existing or new agent-based algorithms for collaborative cloud computing can be designed and evaluated; (ii) a novel, highly parametric ACO-based algorithm, which we advocate as a strong candidate for collaborative task execution problems.

2. COLORED COMPUTATIONAL FIELD

We consider a Volunteer Cloud as a network of participants (also called platform nodes or just nodes) equipped with a set $\mathcal{R}$ of computational resources, which can enter and leave the system anytime, and submit and satisfy task execution requests, subject to QoS requirements. The picture in Figure 1 illustrates the general architecture at an appropriate level of detail. For simplicity: we provide the internal architecture of two nodes only. The platform nodes (PN) run on top of virtual machines (VM) offering an application environment (AE) where tasks can be executed. When a node is not able to execute a task of its own, it needs to find another “collaborator” node able to do it. Such a search must take into account that, as in social networks and the Internet’s autonomous systems, the node’s visibility is restricted to its contacts up to a certain degree, and, at the same time, the amount of spent time and messages spread in the network should be minimized.

Figure 2 illustrates a situation in which a node $a$ creates an (hungry ant) agent, to search for a collaborator in a network. The depicted network includes nodes $b, c, d, e$ and $f$, some of their connections (as arrows) and some additional nodes (not depicted for simplicity). The dashed edge from node $e$ to node $f$ denotes an arbitrarily long path between both nodes. Even if the picture includes the information about memory resources within nodes (which is also emphasized by the color intensity and node size), node $a$ and its agent are totally unaware of such information. Thus, a blind strategy such as random walk seems the only option for the agent. Even in presence of information, it is not clear which node should be selected: node $f$ is the one offering more memory, but may lie too far away; node $a$ is the direct neighbor with the highest memory capacity; node $d$ is not a direct neighbor but can be reached in two hops and has higher memory capacity than $b$.

The approach we propose offers several parametrizable solutions to these problems. The basic idea is to create a supporting structure, to help agents in taking their decisions. Figure 3 illustrates the situation described above, on a network whose links have been enriched with information related to the amount of resources that may be found by following them. We call such a supporting structure the Colored Computational Field. In the particular example of the figure, the field corresponds to the memory resource only, where edge labels denote values related to the amount of memory. For a more appealing visualization, such values are also emphasized with the edge color intensity and thickness. The concrete field being depicted assigns to the link between $a$ and $c$ the highest rank, reflecting the fact that a near node with high memory capacity ($d$) can be found through it. Our algorithms use such information to make probabilistic choices in the quest for collaborators.

**Definition 2.1 (Colored Computational Field).** Let $K$ be a set of $\mathbb{R}^+$-valued computational pheromones. A $K$-colored computational field is a tuple $(N, E, \rho, \Phi)$ such that $N$ is a set of nodes (representing cloud participants), $E \subseteq N \times N$ is a set of edges (representing contact relations among participants), $\rho : N \to (\mathbb{R}^+)^{|\mathcal{R}|}$ is a resource map (i.e., a mapping of nodes to their computational resources), and $\Phi : E \to \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{R}|}$ is the pheromone table of each edge.

Usually, $\mathcal{R} \subseteq K$, i.e., each element of $K$ corresponds to a computational resource (e.g., memory amount, number of cores, core frequency), but it may also contain other values. We shall consider, for instance, the predicted idle time (i.e., an estimate of when a node will complete its current tasks) and a feedback pheromone (i.e., a rate based on how often the node accepts and successfully executes tasks) as elements of $K$ in our examples. For the sake of simplicity we assume that all nodes feature the same set of resources, which are measurable in $\mathbb{R}^+$ (i.e., as non-negative reals).

The subset of QoS requirements of a task $t$, to be interpreted as lower bounds on computational resources $\mathcal{R}$, is denoted by a vector $t_\mathcal{R}$ in $(\mathbb{R}^+)^{|\mathcal{R}|}$. Additional requirements such as deadlines are treated separately.

The resource map $\rho$ is used to represent each node’s computational resources. The pheromone table $\Phi$ is a mapping of edges into a vector of pheromone values in $\mathbb{R}$. Each value in the vector is a “pheromone level” value, associated to one of the $K$ computational pheromones and indicates a sort of level of “goodness” of a connection with respect to the resource. Obviously, $\Phi$ is intended to be implemented as a distributed table where each entry $\Phi(i, j)$ is maintained at node $i$.

We assume that both $\mathcal{R}$ and $K$ are ordered sets, so that we can refer to the individual components in the vectors returned by $\rho$ and $\Phi$ by their position. Indeed, we often refer to the pheromone $k$ in edge $e_j$ with $\Phi_k(e_j)$. More in general, the $i$-th element of a vector $\bar{x}$ is denoted as $\bar{x}_i$. Sometimes, we refer to the set of edges $(i, j)$ outgoing from a node $i$ with $E_i$. The pheromone table can be seen as a sort of routing table or gradient map [38], used to ease resource discovery, while minimizing communication.

3. ACO-BASED ALGORITHM

Several algorithms can be defined on top of a Colored Computational Field, including those based on local diffusion rules, which are typical of spatial computing approaches [38]. This section presents
a novel paradigmatic example of a highly parametric ACO-based algorithm. The algorithm uses ant-like mobile agents that are in charge of maintaining and exploiting the Colored Computational Field. It relies on two different types of ants: colored scout ants and hunter ants. Colored scout ants (Listing 1 line 1) periodically explore the neighborhood of a node, to discover computational resources and to update the field accordingly. Such ants are specialized by computational pheromones: each color \( k \) corresponds to one of the computational pheromones in \( K \). Hunter ants (Listing 1 lines 3-6) are spawned when a task execution request is issued. They exploit the field to find a volunteer node, and update the field according to the received feedback.

The two types of ants are described in detail in §3.1 and §3.2 respectively. It is worth to remark a common key feature: they both exploit the field to make their exploration decisions. Namely, when they are in a node they choose their next hop with a probabilistic selection, weighted according to the corresponding level of pheromone. Such an operation, called stigmergy, may eventually lead to an optimal solution in a static network, but may also suffer (as all ACO-based approaches) from stagnation [23], specially in dynamic networks. Stagnation occurs when the ants converge to an apparently optimal decision, which may prevent the system to adapt to the emergence of new, better solutions. Our ACO-based algorithm features some standard techniques to prevent stagnation, such as evaporation (pheromones are regularly decreased), as well as some features some standard techniques to prevent stagnation, such as exploration (try to gather new information) and exploitation (focus on the best decision, according to current information). Exploration may be considered as a risk run by the node, with the hope to obtain better knowledge and thus make better decisions in the future. A common approach to face the “exploration-exploitation dilemma” is the use of a Softmax method [30]. Each ant selects its next hop by taking into account both the past path desirability (exploitation) and to the exploration compliance, according to the rate established by the following equation

\[ \pi_k(e_j) = e_j^{\frac{1}{\tau}} \]  

The probability \( p_k(e_j, E') \) that the \( k \)-colored scout ant at node \( i \) chooses \( e_j \in E' \subseteq E_i \) is then defined by

\[ p_k(e_j, E') = \frac{\pi_k(e_j)}{\sum_{e \in E'} \pi_k(e)} \]  

Typically, \( E' \) is selected to contain all the outgoing edges at node \( i \), excluding the one from which the ant arrived (see Listing 2 line 7).

According to the Softmax action selection method, we have chosen the Boltzmann/Gibbs distribution, with a tunable temperature function \( T_i \), to probabilistically choose the next hop from node \( i \), while taking into account the expected reward, i.e., the probability to find a node willing to perform a task. The temperature function controls the exploitation/exploration tradeoff, i.e., if \( T_i \rightarrow \infty \) the ant at node \( i \) tends to follow a more random approach (all paths have the same preference), while if \( T_i \rightarrow 0 \) the ant follows a greedy approach, which reduces the exploration component. Instead, if \( T \) is close to 1, the choice tends to be proportional to the pheromone of each link. For instance, with \( T = 1 \), in the situation depicted in Figure 3 a memory scout ant having to take a decision on \( \pi \) will choose among the links towards nodes \( b, c \) and \( e \) with probability 0.4, 0.47 and 0.13, respectively.

One of the roles of the temperature is to prevent stagnation. Indeed, if we choose the temperature to be a monotonically decreasing function with respect to time, then, as time goes by, it is possible to reduce exploration and make a more sound use of the knowledge gathered so far. However, every time a new neighbor connects to a node \( i \), the corresponding function \( T_i \) should be re-initialized to encourage the exploration of new resources.

### 3.1 Colored Scout Ants

Colored scout ants are periodically spawned in a process that is independent from the request and execution of tasks (Listing 1 line 1). Their goal is to explore the network and update the pheromone field. Each ant releases and follows its own pheromone color \( k \in K \). There may be computational colors with no associated colored scout ants. In our case, for instance, no scout is in charge of the feedback pheromone since this is up to hunter ants. Listing 2 describes the behavior of scout ants by means of pseudo-code. Each scout ant explores the network (line 4), probing the neighborhood goodness while going away from its home node (the one that spawned the scout ant). Each ant has an associated time-to-live (TTL), which establishes the number of hops an ant must try to perform during its exploration, before returning home. The TTL prevents endless and unnecessary exploration efforts. When its TTL is exhausted, the scout ant returns back to its source node (line 12), releasing the pheromone according to a memory aging approach (line 23).

Below, we provide a detailed explanation of the main features of the algorithm.

### Choosing the Next Hop: Temperature-dependent Exploration & Exploitation

The behavior of ants is based on online Reinforcement Learning (RL) [30], where at each step the decision of which link to explore next involves a choice among exploration (try to gather new information) and exploitation (focus on the best decision, according to current information). Exploration may be considered as a risk run by the node, with the hope to obtain better knowledge and thus make better decisions in the future. A common approach to face the “exploration-exploitation dilemma” is the use of a Softmax method [30]. Each ant selects its next hop by taking into account both the past path desirability (exploitation) and to the exploration compliance, according to the rate established by the following equation

\[ \pi_k(e_j) = e_j^{\frac{1}{\tau}} \]  

The probability \( p_k(e_j, E') \) that the \( k \)-colored scout ant at node \( i \) chooses \( e_j \in E' \subseteq E_i \) is then defined by

\[ p_k(e_j, E') = \frac{\pi_k(e_j)}{\sum_{e \in E'} \pi_k(e)} \]  

Typically, \( E' \) is selected to contain all the outgoing edges at node \( i \), excluding the one from which the ant arrived (see Listing 2 line 7).

According to the Softmax action selection method, we have chosen the Boltzmann/Gibbs distribution, with a tunable temperature function \( T_i \), to probabilistically choose the next hop from node \( i \), while taking into account the expected reward, i.e., the probability to find a node willing to perform a task. The temperature function controls the exploitation/exploration tradeoff, i.e., if \( T_i \rightarrow \infty \) the ant at node \( i \) tends to follow a more random approach (all paths have the same preference), while if \( T_i \rightarrow 0 \) the ant follows a greedy approach, which reduces the exploration component. Instead, if \( T \) is close to 1, the choice tends to be proportional to the pheromone of each link. For instance, with \( T = 1 \), in the situation depicted in Figure 3 a memory scout ant having to take a decision on \( \pi \) will choose among the links towards nodes \( b, c \) and \( e \) with probability 0.4, 0.47 and 0.13, respectively.

One of the roles of the temperature is to prevent stagnation. Indeed, if we choose the temperature to be a monotonically decreasing function with respect to time, then, as time goes by, it is possible to reduce exploration and make a more sound use of the knowledge gathered so far. However, every time a new neighbor connects to a node \( i \), the corresponding function \( T_i \) should be re-initialized to encourage the exploration of new resources.
**Discounting the Distance: Memory Aging.** Scout ants explore the network and record the nodes’ goodness (or nest value, i.e., the resource value associated to the corresponding color) found during their exploration. While returning home, a scout releases a pheromone value, depending on the ant memory aging factor (to prevent stagnation) and the node goodness in that part of the network (Listing 2, lines 23-27, getMemoryAgingPheromone()).

We do not use the traditional concept of aging, where ants deposit lesser and lesser pheromone as they move from node to node, because the information that the pheromone provides, in our setting, is useful not only for the node where the scout has been spawned but also for scout ants spawned at other nodes.

However, we still want to take into account the distance between a potential task execution requester and the node holding the necessary resources. For this purpose, our memory aging mechanism releases an amount of pheromone that is inversely proportional to the distance from the best resource found so far, and not to the distance from the node that spawned the ant (as in traditional aging). In other words, our memory aging mechanism considers what the ant remembers from the goodness of the best node, in the subsequent portions of the path it has followed. This can be achieved, for instance, by implementing the function agingDiscount(mem_aging on best_φ), illustrated in Listing 2 at line 26 which, for example, could be instantiated as best_φ = mem_aging ∙ AgingFactor, where best_φ is the best value found so far, mem_aging is the distance from it and the discounting factor is AgingFactor.

Fig. 4 exemplifies the memory aging approach, where the aging discounting function is instantiated in the same way as the previous example, and AgingFactor = 0.5. The figure represents a part of the field that has been already explored by some scout ants, which have been spawned at different nodes (not depicted for simplicity). The scout ant is spawned at node a and follows the path a → b → c → d → e → f (Fig. 4 top). When the TTL expires, after 5 hops (at node f), the scout ant returns home (node a). In the first step back, the actual value of the resource at node f (i.e., 3) is taken into account (see the label on the link from node e to f). Note, however, that in the second step back the pheromone is updated with 2.5 and not 3, as an effect of the aging function. At each step back, the pheromone on the next link is updated only if its value is lower than the one the ant would like to assign. Otherwise the current value is kept, as in the third step back (from e to d), where the ant has found a resource with value 3, but the previous pheromone is 4 (which may be the result from a previous exploration of some other nodes, not depicted in the figure).

**Discounting Information Age: Evaporation.** In addition to dynamic temperature and memory aging mechanisms, we also use the evaporation technique to deal with stagnation in presence of volatile resources. The finishing time, for instance, is a volatile resource measure and its value should be updated frequently. A higher amount of pheromone is assigned the more the declared finishing time is closer to the current time. A new pheromone is released only if the new value is higher than the previously released one. Instead, if pheromone values would be updated regardless of the best previously found values, they would be highly variable, thus providing unstable information. We consider resources such as the amount of RAM and CPU characteristics to be non-volatile, as they cannot be allocated forever but only on a short-basis (i.e., to execute tasks). Thus, until the node participates to the network, its resources are stable, and the corresponding deposited pheromone does not need to be updated by means of evaporation. When a node perceives a new neighbor, the former increases its temperature to update the field. Instead, when a node notices that one of its neighbors has left, it uses angry ants (described below) to update the field.

**Dealing with Dynamic Networks: Angry Ants.** Despite the non-volatile nature of resources, the unstable nature of the network of participants [34] can lead to stagnation: when a node that caused the update of the pheromone on several links goes offline, all subsequent task execution requests on the nodes of those links may follow a wrong path, without finding the desired resources. As a remedy, we propose angry ants, which are spawned by scout ants when they find an abrupt change in the field. Angry ants follow back the path of colored scout ants, and throw away a certain amount of pheromone of the corresponding color, to force the update of the corresponding pheromone color by future scout ants.

### 3.2 Hunter Ants

When a node has a task for which it cannot respect the deadline, it starts spawning multiple hunter ants (Listing 1, lines 36). Every hunter ant tries to find a node ready to satisfy the task execution request. To this purpose, the hunter ant starts exploring the network, by exploiting the field and the task characteristics. Task execution requests are sent to nodes that have been found by the hunter ants, until one of them accepts, or the hunter ant attempts are exhausted. The
Combining and Minimizing Resources: Resource Allocation Heuristic. When choosing the next hop, hunter ants need to take into account the various pheromones of the field, which may offer contradictory information. As a simple example, consider the memory field of Figure 3 and the CPU field on top of Figure 5. By overlapping them, as in the center picture of Figure 5, we illustrate the contradictory information: the memory-colored pheromone (red) tends to promote the link from a to c (since it leads towards node d, which has a good memory capability), while the CPU-colored pheromone (green) tends to promote the link from a to b (which is a node with lots of cores). Our approach is based on a weighted sum of both fields, as we shall see.

An additional issue is the global need to maximize the number of tasks that meet their deadline. Such a problem is clearly intractable in a global manner (for instance, even the problem of finding the best task-node match is well known to be NP-complete) and would require perfect predictions of future task arrival times and characteristics, which is totally unrealistic in open environment such as volunteer clouds, where tasks requests and nodes participating in the network change over time. Therefore, hunter ants use local heuristics, based on the idea that minimizing wasted resources (the ones that are reserved but not completely used) will increase the probability to accommodate more requests in the future. These heuristics rely on two functions, namely the single resource waste ratio \( srw(x, y) \) and the combined resource waste ratio \( crw(x, y) \), defined in Eq. 3 and 4 respectively. Note that the latter function uses a vector \( y \) of size \( |x| \), allowing to express preferences among resources.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{srw}(x, y) &= \frac{\min(x, y)}{\max(x, y)} \\
\text{crw}(x, y) &= \sum_{k \in [1, |x|]} \frac{\eta_k \cdot \text{srw}(x_k, y_k)}{\sum_{\forall k \in [1, |x|]} \eta_k}
\end{align*}
\]

Such functions are exemplified in Table 1 where two types of resources are taken into account, both with the same weight (\( \eta_1 = \eta_2 = 1 \)). The first example is the best match, where required resources \( x \) perfectly match the provided ones \( y \). The other cases exemplify the mismatches due to under/over resource utilization. Smaller values of \( crw \) suggest higher mismatch degree between requested and provided resources.

A concrete example is illustrated at the bottom of Figure 5 where memory and CPU pheromones are combined by a hunter ant, looking for a node able to execute a task that requires 2 GB of memory and 2 cores. The labels of the black edges outgoing from node a are the result of evaluating \( crw(2, 2, \Phi(c')) \) for \( c' \in \{(a, b), (a, c), (a, e)\} \) and with all \( \eta \) being identical.

It is worth to note that, even if the required resources are above the ones that seem to be provided by a link, following it may still be worth. Indeed, the link may lead to a region of the network with nodes having with the required resources. This is the reason why the pheromone of all the three links in Figure 5 is considered by the hunter ant’s decision mechanism.

### Table 1: Example of Resource Under/Over Utilization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>srw(( x_1, y_1 ))</th>
<th>srw(( x_2, y_2 ))</th>
<th>crw(( x, y ))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( M/2, N )</td>
<td>( M, N )</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( M, N )</td>
<td>( M, N )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weighting Links. Such heuristic functions are used to associate goodness values to links. The task-\( i \)'s resources requirements \( tQ \) are expressed as a pheromones vector \( \Phi(tQ) \) applying, for each of the requested resources, the same functions used by the scout ants. Then, the goodness of a link \( e \) will be based on the value of \( crw(\Phi_R(e), \Phi(tQ)) \), where \( \Phi_R(e) \) is the pheromone vector associated to all computational resources in \( R \) (which coincide with those expressed in the QoS of the task). Task requirements that are closer to the available ones are preferable. For a single color, the optimal value is approached when the single resource waste ratio tends to 1, while the worst case is when resources are reserved but not completely used by the task, and the function tends to 0. In the other cases, for each single resource component \( k \) we obtain \( \Phi_R(e)_k / \Phi_{R,k} \) when the resource is under-used, or \( \Phi_R(e)_k / \Phi_{R,k} \) when the resource is over-used.

Pheromone Release. When a hunter ant finds a node willing to perform a task, it releases its own type of pheromone, which serves to record a measure of the node’s availability to execute remote tasks, its network stability, and also its load. The node’s willingness to perform tasks can be regarded as a reputation assigned to the node, and is subject to pheromone aging and evaporation, to take into account the loss of knowledge about the node behavior. At each hop, a hunter ant computes an overall pheromone value \( \Psi(e, t) \) for a candidate edge \( e \) according to:

\[
\Psi(e, t) = crw^\alpha(\Phi_R(e), \Phi(tQ)) \cdot \Phi^{\alpha}_m(e) \cdot \Phi^{\alpha}_y(e) \cdot \lambda^\delta(e, tQ)
\]

where \( \Phi_R(e) \) is the pheromone value associated to the node’s finishing time, \( \Phi_m(e) \) is the feedback pheromone released by hunter ants, and \( \lambda(e, tQ) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) is a heuristic measure which evaluates the estimated performance of link \( e \) for a task with QoS \( tQ \), in terms of data rate and delay perceived in the last interaction along \( e \). This measure takes into account the network overhead for transferring the task to the node that will execute it. The parameters \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) and \( \delta \) are used as tunable weights for the components of the equation. The above components are normalized in the range \([0, 1]\).
Table 2: Node attributes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>CPU freq.</th>
<th>cores</th>
<th>RAM</th>
<th>Nodes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volunteer</td>
<td>1 − 2 GHz</td>
<td>1−6</td>
<td>0.1−2 Gb</td>
<td>100−3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Center</td>
<td>1 − 3 GHz</td>
<td>2−32</td>
<td>2−6 Gb</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exploration. Unlike the function used by the colored ants, hunter ants combine all types of pheromone colors (Listing 3 line 5). However, the probability to choose link $e'$ as the next hop is computed in a similar manner based on the rate

$$
\pi_h(e', t) = e^{-\frac{\varphi(e', t, q)}{T_h}}
$$

(6)

4. VALIDATION

We evaluated our ACO-based framework in a volunteer cloud computing scenario modeled in the discrete event simulation environment DEUS [7,17]. DEUS is a general-purpose, open-source, Java-based simulation environment, characterized by extreme ease of use and flexibility, which supports the analysis of complex and large scale systems. Simulation experiments have been enriched with the functionalities offered by MultiVeStA [29,32], a distributed statistical analysis tool. MultiVeStA provides a language (MultiQuaTEX) to express the system properties of interest in a compact fashion. Such properties are evaluated by performing independent distributed simulation runs, until the required accuracy is met.

![Figure 6: Pheromone field for a network with 30 nodes.](http://dsg.ce.unipr.it/?q=node)

4.1 Simulated Scenario

In the following, we describe the main characteristics of the scenario used in the experiments. The network of participants includes 10 cloud sites, of which 7 sites have a central data center and several volunteer nodes, while the rest of the sites are composed by volunteer nodes only. The specification of the node resources is reported in Table 2. Volunteer nodes are less computationally powerful, as they correspond to mobile devices such as laptops. We consider different cloud configurations, which differ in the number of participating volunteer nodes (from 100 to 3,000), each one belonging to one cloud site. Every site is managed by a supernode which can be run on top of a data center or a volunteer node. The overlay network is semi-hierarchical, with supernodes connected with peers of other sites, and normal nodes which have connections within the same site only. Each node joining the network notifies its presence to the corresponding supernode, and receives a list of neighbors — a random subset of the volunteer nodes in the same site.

Nodes are both task producers and consumers. Nodes share their resources to address tasks execution requests coming from other nodes, but can also create requests for their tasks. Tasks are executed in exclusive application environments. A task is accepted for execution only if its timely completion can be guaranteed, otherwise the task is discarded. A completed task marks a hit for the node on which it has been executed. The cost of communication is computed by means of the simple yet realistic network models described by Saino et al. [31].

The workload model we considered is the Google cluster data [22] described by Mishra et al. [27]. There, task requirements are characterized by CPU cycles and memory occupation. The tasks attributes we have considered are reported in Table 3, namely task duration, required number of cores, required RAM, deadline offset and arrival mean. As workload data are partially obfuscated [22], we had to make some assumptions. An example is the quality of service, in terms of task deadlines, after which task executions are considered to be useless. We have considered a deadline offset with respect to the actual duration of the task.

The arrival mean determines the task arrival process, which is Markovian, as derived by Mishra et al. [27] from the Google cluster data. The inter-arrival time between two consecutive tasks is modeled as an exponential random variable with mean value equal to 600 ms for large tasks, and 200 ms for small tasks. From a queue theoretic point of view, the scenario can be seen as a queue model where data centers are modeled as M/G/m/∞ queues, while the volunteers are modeled as M/G/1/∞ queues. I.e., task arrivals are modeled by a Markovian process (M), service time follows a generic (G) distribution, data centers have m VMs, volunteers have 1 VM each, and task queues are unbounded. The duration of the simulated scenario is 1 hour, with 10 ms granularity.

### Table 3: Task attributes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type</th>
<th>duration</th>
<th>Cores</th>
<th>RAM</th>
<th>Deadline offset</th>
<th>Arrival mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>small</td>
<td>0−0.4 h</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0−0.5 Gb</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>200 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>large</td>
<td>1−12 h</td>
<td>1−4</td>
<td>1−4 Gb</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>600 ms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 Instantiated ACO Algorithm

As described in §3, our ACO-based algorithm is highly parametric. The actual configuration of the algorithm can be specified in XML configuration files of the DEUS tool (see Listing 4). Some of the configuration parameters of the algorithm are functions (i.e., releasing, aging and temperature) for which the current implementation considers several possibilities (constants, linear or exponential functions, user-specified functions, etc.).

The common parameters of the scout ants used in all experiments are specified in Listing 4. The configuration of the pheromone deposit function depends on the color. For computational resources (CPU frequency, CPU cores and memory) the function is defined as $x$, while for color “finishing time” the function must be decreasing (to assign more pheromone when the finishing time is closer to the actual time), thus it is configured with $1 - x/5$.

Hunter ants are instead configured with 3 attempts for each task (hunting efforts before giving up), a pheromone deposit function equal to $1 - x$, a weight for each kind of pheromone (used in Eq. 5) equal to 1, and a constant temperature value of 1.
An example of the pheromone released in the network, corresponding to the memory resource color, is sketched in Figure 6. For the sake of clarity, the depicted network contains only few of the thousands of nodes. The graph follows the convention for which the edge direction is codified by the curved arrow, in a clockwise way from the source to the target node. It is possible to notice that the pheromone suggests the ants to go towards the data center node with the largest memory capacity. Such diagrams as a useful tool to intuitively visualize the evolution of the colored field and to detect anomalies or interesting phenomena like unexpected over/under-accumulation of pheromones in some network regions.

4.3 Evaluated performance indicators

Our simulator allows to measure several performance indicators. Here we focus on those we consider particularly significant for the evaluation of our algorithm in terms of perceived QoS, communication overhead and fairness (load balance). In particular, we report the following indicators: (i) Hit Rate, which is the relative amount of tasks that meet their deadline or that, being still running, will likely complete if their host will not go offline; (ii) Useless Message Rate, which is the relative amount of refused task execution requests over the total number of sent requests, indicating the overhead of the requests sent to overloaded nodes; (iii) Mean Task Waiting Time: the time that a task spends in the queue of a node, before its execution starts; (iv) Mean Task Sojourn Time: the time that a task spends in the network, summing up waiting and execution time.

4.4 Results

Apart from the basic common configuration we described above, it is worth mentioning that every node uses ants that are configured with exactly the same behavior. We performed parametric simulations, to study the behavior of the system for different number of participating volunteer nodes and varying the frequency of scout ants release (from every 50 second to every 2000 seconds).

Our ACO-based algorithm is compared with other approaches, namely random, round robin, local diffusion and greedy oracle.

When a node uses the random approach, execution requests are randomly spread to the neighborhood of the node that has generated the task, not considering its requirements neither the node resources. The round robin approach probes the neighbors nodes in a circular order. For a fair comparison with our algorithm, which uses a limited amount of ants with a pre-specified TTL, these two algorithms use as well a TTL and a maximum number of requests. The random approach can be considered as an instance of our framework, with $T \to \infty$, TTL equal to 1 and without scout ants.

Local diffusion is a variant of our algorithm which replaces scout ants by local diffusion of pheromones — a procedure inspired by spatial computing. In detail, each node $u$ periodically selects a random neighbor $v$ and sends to $v$, for each type of pheromone, the best value it is aware of. i.e., the best among the pheromones in the outgoing edges of $u$ (discounting the distance of one hop with the memory aging policy) and the pheromone associated to the resources of $u$ itself.

The greedy oracle is an algorithm that is impossible to realize in practice, as it assumes to have complete information (knowing all nodes’ resources and the queued tasks) and assigns the task to the node that can complete the new task the first. Thus, from local optimal choices, it tries to obtain a global optimum. We use this algorithm as a sort of upper bound to better evaluate how close the algorithms are to a near-to-optimal performance.

In the following, we refer to the average results obtained after reaching a 95% confidence interval, with a radius of 0.001, evaluated with the Student’s t-test. To reach the desired confidence interval, MultiVeStA automatically decides how many simulation runs are necessary. In our experiments, about 20 simulation runs (with different seeds) were necessary. Each simulation took several hours.

The purpose of the experiments was to evaluate the impact of scout ants, in the proposed algorithm. It is worth to remark that the algorithm can run without those ants by solely relying on the feedback pheromone collected by hunter ants. However, the results show that scout ants significantly improve the algorithm’s performance in several dimensions.

Figures 7 (bottom left), 7 (top left) and 7 (top right) report the Hit Rate values for all, large, and small tasks, respectively. Such values are plotted considering the number of participating volunteer nodes on the horizontal axis. Obviously, the higher the number of nodes, the better the performance of the system is in terms of Hit Rate. As expected, if the scout ants are spawned with lower frequency, hunter ants have less information and thus make worse decisions. This happens especially when the number of nodes is higher and thus more scout ant explorations are required to build an informative computational field. The basic local diffusion algorithm considered does not seem to build a very informative field and performs similar to ACO without scout ants. The overall number of performed tasks is acceptable, considering the limited number of participants, i.e., even if in some cases the Hit Rate is not close to 1, in most cases it is close to the Hit Rate of the fictitious greedy oracle algorithm.

In Fig. 7 (bottom right) we report the Useless Message Rate, which, as expected, is lower when the number of nodes increases. The Greedy Algorithm is not included as, having global knowledge, it always sends a request to a node that will accept it. The round robin and random approaches perform better than the ACO algorithm without scout ants or with a low frequency of scout ant release. However, augmenting the frequency of scout ant release leads the ACO algorithm close to the performance of the random approach in terms of Useless Message Rate. Indeed, frequent scout ants allow a faster reduction of refused requests, thanks to the knowledge about resources availability they introduce in the field.

Due to lack of space, we do not show the results related to the other performance indices. Nevertheless, we summarize the insights we obtained. With a low number of nodes, the knowledge added by the scout ants and the mismatch policy followed in Eq. 4 tends to favor large tasks to data center nodes, leading to increased waiting and sojourn times, with lower execution rate, for small tasks. Thus, large tasks become a bottleneck for small ones. Scout ants provide an almost linear scaling of executed tasks, by increasing the number of nodes and the number of accepted remote requests. With them, the load is better spread among the nodes which are able to execute the tasks. The only drawback is in the increased waiting and sojourn times, due to the bottleneck created by large tasks.

All in all, our ACO algorithm with frequent scout ant release offers the best performance in terms of perceived QoS at a reasonable price in terms of communication overhead.

Listing 4: Colored scout ant configuration

```xml
<aut:param name="initPheromone" value="1" />
<aut:param name="hitA" value="1" />
<aut:param name="pheromone_a" value="1" />
<aut:param name="pheromone_b" value="-0.2" />
<aut:param name="pheromone_c" value="0.2" />
<aut:param name="roundRobin" value="1" />
<aut:param name="temperature_a" value="1" />
<aut:param name="temperature_b" value="1" />
<aut:param name="temperature_c" value="1" />
<aut:param name="maxPherForm" value="1" />
<aut:param name="agePherForm" value="1" />
<aut:param name="maxMessageRate" value="1" />
<aut:param name="maxSensMessage" value="1" />
```
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5. RELATED WORK

ACO-based approaches. The ACO approach was firstly proposed by Di Caro and Dorigo [13], to address the routing problem. In their AntNet algorithm, each artificial ant builds a path from source to destination. While building the path, ants collect information about the time length of the path components, and implicit information about the load status of the network. Although our algorithm is clearly inspired by that work, it does address the more complex problem of distributed QoS-constrained task execution.

In their comprehensive survey on approaches to network routing and load-balancing based on ACO, Sim et al. [33] stress the main weakness of ACO-based approaches, namely stagnation, and focus on the many strategies that have been developed to deal with it. In addition to the ones featured also by our algorithm (namely evaporation and aging), they consider pheromone smoothing (placing a maximum to the amount of pheromone and releasing less pheromone when that threshold is closer), pheromone limiting (setting upper bounds on the amount of deposited pheromone), privileged pheromone laying (a privileged set of ants may release more pheromone than the rest) and pheromone-heuristic control (the choice of ants is a weighted combination of the amount of pheromone and the estimate of a heuristic). Such techniques can be easily implemented and evaluated in our framework.

Some authors have adopted ACO-based approaches to solve load balancing problems in task distribution systems [3, 25, 28]. Many of them apply the minmax algorithm of Di Caro and Dorigo [13]. Unfortunately, such works do not describe their algorithms in sufficient detail to allow their implement and evaluation in our framework. Nevertheless, we discuss some of their main concepts.

Mishra [25] proposed a simple ACO approach to deal with the load balancing problem intended as the fact that every node does approximately the same amount of work at any instant of time. The proposed ACO-based algorithm for dynamic load balancing relies only on the current state of the system (no prior knowledge is needed). Each node is configured with its capacity, its probability of being a destination, and its pheromone (or probabilistic routing) table, whose role is similar to the one of our Colored Computational Field. Each row of the pheromone table is a routing preference for each destination, and each column represents the probability of choosing a neighbor as the next hop. Ants are launched with random destination, to feed the information of the table. When an ant reaches a node whose pheromone table is empty, it does make a random decision. An extended version of such an algorithm considers the presence of multiple ant colonies, with the sole purpose of reducing the likelihood that all mobile agents establish the same connection. In our opinion, although such an approach is suitable for load balancing in network routing problems, it is not adequate for collaborative task execution in volunteer clouds, as ants’ decisions do not take into account the QoS requirements of the tasks.

LBACO (Load Balancing Colony Optimization) [25] is an extension of the basic ACO algorithm of [13]. LBACO tries to find the optimal resource allocation for each task, and to minimize the makespan of a given task set, adapting to the dynamic cloud computing system and balancing the entire system load. The makespan is defined as the time difference among the task that completes first, and the one that complete last. The basic ACO algorithm is extended by carrying out scheduling decisions that take into account...
the load of each VM. The algorithm takes into account VM characteristics like the number of processors available at each VM, its MIPS (Million Instruction Per Second) capability and communication bandwidth. LBACO is evaluated through simulation, and compared with basic FIFO and ACO algorithms, in terms of average makespan and Degree of Imbalance (a measure of imbalance among VMs). Our work has a different purpose, as it considers only individual tasks, which have an associated deadline parameter, and tries to maximize the number of completed tasks, while respecting their QoS requirements. LBACO cannot be directly applied to collaborative task execution in volunteer clouds, since it assumes that each node knows all the resources available in the neighbors nodes, which is unrealistic in those scenarios.

The idea of colored ants was previously presented in a completely different way by Ali and Belal [5]. They considered a multiple colony approach, where each node sends a colored colony throughout the network. Using colored ant colonies helps in preventing ants of the same nest from following the same route, hence enforcing them to be distributed all over the nodes in the network. One main difference with respect to our work is that Ali and Belal’s ants tend to maximize the coverage of the network (exploration), while our scout ants can be configured with a certain exploration-exploitation tradeoff, according to the softmax method (see Eq. 1).

A different approach was adopted by Di Nitto et al. [18], who used bio-inspired algorithms to balance the workload, i.e., to ensure that all the nodes have almost the same amount of tasks in their queue. To deal with node heterogeneity, the algorithm proceeds in two steps: in the first one, the network is rewritten to cluster the nodes of the same type; in the second step, messages are spread among nodes of the same type, to redistribute the load. The goal of such an algorithm is different from our one’s. Moreover, we consider nodes with heterogeneous resource characteristics, not belonging to only few classes.

**Spatial Computing Approaches.** Our hunter ants share many similarities with the spatial computing paradigm [39]. The use of decentralized approaches for managing Grid resources in a P2P fashion through a spatial computing approach was first tackled by Di Stefano and Santoro [19], where a job resource request is defined by a capsule, which is characterized by mass and energy, and moves on a three-dimensional surface. The surface is built on top of the overlay network (where the nodes define the X-Y plane) and the available node’s resource characterize their mass (adding the Z dimension). The capsule moves according to a couple of functions, which define the difference of potential among neighbors nodes (i.e., the capsule’s behavior, according to its remaining energy), and the friction (which causes a loss of energy of the capsule, thus ensuring termination). One surface is associated to each type of resource.

In our ACO algorithm, hunter ants follow an approach which can be considered an extension of the one proposed by Di Stefano and Santoro [19]. Each scout ant, by releasing its colored pheromone, contributes to the construction of a surface where the values are not associated to the node itself, but to the link. Moreover, task requests do not have their own mass, but specify how they react over different surfaces. Hunter ants are able to combine these colored surfaces, to build a new “normalized surface” (Eq. 1 depending on the specific task request and to the importance of each kind of resource (through the weights $\eta_k$). In our algorithm, the Z dimension is given by the under/over utilization of resources, since our approach tries to minimize the amount of resources reserved and not used by the task. Such a surface normalization process aims to combine the different surfaces generated by the pheromone colors, and at the same time it is able to take into account one of the ants’ goals (the minimization of task wasted resources). Hunter ants behave similarly to task capsules, as their next hop choice is guided by the surface. The links that are more attractive to the combined colored pheromone will present higher gradients, guiding the hunter towards them. Differently to a traditional spatial computing approach, hunter ants do not have their own energy that must be exhausted to stop the exploration. Instead, they adopt a more clever approach, stopping when they find a suitable node, which can fulfill their requests. Such an approach allows to find a solution in less time, which is more effective when coping with scenarios where tasks may have stringent deadlines. Termination is ensured by the ant’s TTL.

**Cloud Simulators.** Finally, we remark that we use our cloud simulator instead of CloudSim [11] (a popular simulator for cloud computing environments), because CloudSim imposes a rigid architecture, which is not suitable for volunteer clouds. More precisely, cloud agents in CloudSim must submit a description of their capabilities to a broker, which receives task execution requests and dispatches them. Such a centralized solution does not cope with the de-centralized nature of volunteer clouds.

6. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

We presented two novel contributions in the field of volunteer cloud computing. First, a flexible framework for the design and evaluation of agent-based algorithms for collaborative cloud computing problems. The key feature of the framework is a shared data structure called Computational Colored Field, inspired by Ant Colony Optimization [20] and Spatial Computing [38]. Overall, the framework is also inspired by the volunteer computing [15] and cloud using agents paradigms [35]. The proposed general framework can be easily instantiated in different ways, to better fit the characteristics of the considered scenario.

Second, inspired by previous ACO and spatial computing based approaches to distributed computing problems (e.g., [5][11][19][25][28][33]), we presented an instance of the framework in the form of a novel, highly parametric ACO-based algorithm, which we advocate as a strong candidate for collaborative task execution problems. The proposed ACO approach is self-adaptive, which makes it suitable for dynamic scenarios such as volunteer clouds, where nodes can join and leave the network at any time. The novelty of the proposed ACO-based algorithm relies in its application and adaptation to distributed computing which has required the introduction of some novel features. The benefits of the algorithm can be summarized by its decentralized and self-* nature together with a light network overhead introduced by ants which allowed us to obtain the best performance in terms of perceived QoS. The proposed algorithm was evaluated with a set of simulation-based experiments using workload data from Google [22][23] and compared with other known approaches, which can be considered instance of our flexible framework.

We plan to evaluate further features of our algorithm, with particular attention to the self-* anti-stagnation mechanisms we propose here (i.e., angry ants and memory aging). Moreover, we plan to investigate novel mechanisms based on heterogeneous ants (i.e., ants having different behaviors), as well to study variants of the basic spatial computing based on information diffusion rules we have used in the experiments. We will also study the performance of our algorithms under additional workload and traffic models (e.g., [1][4][24]), investigate the possibility to implement our approach in volunteer computing platforms such as the ScienceCloud [26] and to use of our algorithms as an efficient way of implementing group-oriented communication primitives such as those promoted in recent pro-
gramming models for autonomic and adaptive systems [16]. In current work we are developing reputation-based mechanisms to deal with volunteer cloud scenarios where nodes are willing to cooperate without disclosing information about their resources [14].
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