

12.7182818284

#### Software Engineering 2 A practical course in software engineering

 $f(x + \Delta x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\Delta x)^{i}}{i!} f(x + \Delta x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\Delta x)^{i}}{i!} f(x + \Delta x)$ 

**Ekkart Kindler** 

**DTU Compute** Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science



{2.7182818284

## VII. Quality Management

**DTU Compute** Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science

 $f(x+\Delta x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\Delta x)^{i}}{i!} f^{(i)}(x)$ 

#### Main Message

DTU Compute Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science Ekkart Kindler



SE2 (02162 e20), L07

DTU

H



#### Questions

- What is quality?
- How can we measure it?
- How can we "produce" it?



#### The totality of features and characteristics of a product or service that bear on its ability to satisfy stated or implied needs (ISO 8402, ISO 9126) This also applies to documents of the development process; their quality must be assessed too. In this sense, documents are considered to be "products" too. SE2 (02162 e20, L07



- A set of attributes of a product by which its quality is described and evaluated
- A quality characteristic may be refined into sub-characteristics (over several levels)



- Functionality
- Reliability
- Usability
- Efficiency
- Maintainability
- Portability

## **Sub-characteristics**

DTU Compute Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science Ekkart Kindler



## Functionality

- Suitability
- Accuracy
- Interoperability
- Compliance
- Security



## Reliability

- Maturity
- Fault-tolerance
- Recoverability



## Usability

- Understandability
- Learnability
- Operability

#### **Sub-characteristics**

DTU Compute Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science Ekkart Kindler







 Feature: property for assessing the quality (sub-)characteristics of a product

Comments, structure of the code, number of methods or classes, ...

- Quality metric: a quantitative scale and method which can be used to determine the value a feature takes for a specific software product
  - → Software metrics



## Defines, for every feature, the minimum quality metrics to be reached (quality level)



## All actions to provide confidence (assure) that the product meets the quality requirements



Tests are an action for QA

#### Problem:

- Tests can assert quality (if it is there)
- But test do not "generate quality" (they can only sort out products with bad quality)



- is much more than just quality assurance!
- Quality management comprises all measures and actions to "generate" and "assure" quality

Quality needs to be **planned**, **controlled** and **assured**!

see slide 3



## Which product (part) needs to be checked

- when
- by whom, and
- with respect to which quality requirements!



#### Product centred QM:

Quality will be assured directly at the product  $(\rightarrow QA)$ .

Process centred QM:

"Quality of the process" assures that the produced product has the required quality ( $\rightarrow$  ISO 900x, CMM, ...)

Discussion:

Which QM principle does agile development follow?

DTU

 $\Xi$ 



## Purely product oriented QM turned out to be impractical for software development!



#### Constructive measures

during the development take care that, at the end, quality requirements are met

#### Analytical measures

check, at the end, whether the product meets the quality requirements

#### **Constructive Measures**

DTU Compute Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science Ekkart Kindler





- Predefine schemas or templates
- Conventions
- Standards
- Check lists

• • •



#### Testing procedures run the product (program) for checking the quality

# Analytical procedures asses the quality without executing it (in particular for documents)



- Dynamic test
- Simulation
- Symbolic tests



- Program analysis (static analysis)
- Program verification
- Review ( $\rightarrow$  Section 3.1)

The transition from testing to analysing procedures is continuous!

Ex.: Model checking, slicing, symbolic execution ...



- Explicit definition of the quality requirements and quality planning
- Constructive Measures
- Early and continuous
- Independent
- Quantitative (metrics/measurable)



## The earlier errors are found, the less follow-up costs it will cause

#### → Errors should be detected as early as possible



- Nobody likes to invalidate the own product ("psychology of testing")!
- If you forget a special case while programming, you are likely to forget to test exactly this case too!
- QA actions should not only be taken by the developer or programmer himself

#### 3. Assessment Methods

Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science Ekkart Kindler

**DTU Compute** 

#### "Review"

- Audit
- Inspection
- Review
- Walkthrough
- • •
- Testing
  - Coverability
  - Unit tests
  - Integration tests
  - Acceptance tests





- More or less formal process, with the goal to identify errors, inconsistencies, ambiguities (weaknesses in general) of a document
- To this end, the document is inspected and discussed in a systematic way (with the authors present)
- The result is a review report (recording the tracked problems) or the release of the document (possibly after several iterations)



#### Problem:

- Authors "are in the line of fire" or are "grilled"
- Psychological aspects need to be considered: e.g.
  - No superiors present
  - No evaluation of persons based on reviews



#### Very formal form of a "review"

#### Participants:

- Moderator
- Author
- Reviewer
- Recorder

## DTU

#### Procedure:

- Initial check (Moderator can refuse inspection)
- Planning
- Individual review (by reviewers)
- Inspection session (all participants; result: records)
- Revision
- Final check
- Release

iteration (if necessary)



#### Simple form of a "review"

Participants:

- Moderator
- Authors
- Reviewer
- Recorder



#### Procedure:

- Individual review (result: comments on document)
- Inspection session (result: record)
- Revision
- Final check

Iteration



## Informal version of "reviews"

## Participants:

- Author
- Reviewer



#### Procedure:

- Maybe, individual review
- Inspections session (author moderates; result: record)

# "Testing is the execution of a program in order to find (as many as possible)

errors"

DTU





- A test should find deviations between the actual and the expected behaviour of a program
- A test consist of a set of input data along with the expected result
- Running a test means executing the program with the input data and comparing the actual result with the expected result



- Accuracy (functionality) and the
- Fault-tolerance and maturity (reliability)
   of the software

#### 

#### There are different levels of test:

- Acceptance tests (by/with client)
- System tests
- Integration tests
- Unit tests



Regression tests should

the software does not

guarantee that the quality of



- Test can be executed automatically  $(\rightarrow JUnits)$
- "regress" over time. Regression test will be executed automatically whenever some part of the system was changed (in order to ensure that the quality of the software does not regress).

Then, a system will be released only when all regression test were passed successfully; this guarantees that supposed corrections did not inject new errors ( $\rightarrow$  JUnits)

Concurrent (multi-threaded) programs and GUIs are still problematic



#### Tests can only show the presence of errors

 Tests cannot guarantee the absence of errors

> → Absence of errors can be shown by verification; but, complete verification of software is impractical today (but sometimes required for parts)



- What are good test?
- How do I test properly?
- When did I test enough?
- How can I make sure to find as many errors as possible?

→ Systematic testing
→ Principles of testing

(see below) (see below)



- Author does not (exclusively) test (psychology of testing)
- Expected result should be defined before executing the test (define tests early → XP/agile: before implementation)
- Rigorous testing
  - Check result carefully (at best automatically)
  - Check "everything"
     (→ systematic testing)
  - "over and over again" testing (regression tests: executed after every change for the complete system)



Systematic construction of tests

- Black-box Test from specification (without knowing the implementation):
  - Normal cases from specification
  - Special cases from specification
  - Illegal input from specification
- Glass-box Test from implementation:
  - Normal- and special cases from program conditions (alternatives and loops)
  - Coverage criteria ( $\rightarrow$  next slides)

## Coverage



#### Statement coverage:

Number of (different) statement executed by at least one test divided by the number of all statements of the program.

100%: Every statement was executed at least once

z = x-y; else x = x/y; if (y > 0)

if (x == 0)

else

$$z = y/x;$$

z = 27;

## Coverability



**100% Statement coverage**: Every statement was at least executed once

if (x == 0) z = x-y;

else

 $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}/\mathbf{y};$ 

if (y > 0)

z = y/x;

else

z = 27;

#### **Tests with 100% statement coverage**:

- x=0, y=0
- x=1, y=1

## Coverage



**100% Path coverage**: Every path of the program was executed at least once.

if (x == 0) z = x-y;

else

 $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}/\mathbf{y};$ 

if (y > 0)z = y/x;

else

z = 27;

#### Tests with 100% path coverage :

- x=0, y=1
- x=0, y=0
- x=1, y=1

• x=1, y=0

Problem: Loops give rise to infinitely many paths! We don't go into details here.



- For glass-box test, we can construct test in such a way that a specified coverage will be reached
- By instrumenting our software, we can also "count" which coverage is reached (if it is not high enough, we can add further tests to the test set; some test suits do this automatically)

Both approaches can be combined

## Warning



 Even if you have achieved 100% path coverage, this does not guarantee that all errors are found!!!!

 Nevertheless this is a quality metric for the sub-characteristics "Accuracy" of the product and increases the confidence in the quality of the product



eXtreme Programming (agile) mindset:

- Only features that are tested by an automated test "exist"
- Each user story is associated with a set of tests (customer tests / functional tests)
- Tests for a user story are written before you begin implementing the user story
- The implementation of a user story is finished, when the tests associated with it run through
- Each method should be associated with a test (programmer tests / unit tests)



Test driven development:

- Before implementing functionality, you write its interface and tests for it
- Unit test are automated, and run every time new code is checked in
- Everybody is responsible for fixing broken code (code which results in failed tests)



Test driven development:

Before implementing functionality, you write its interface and tests for it (tests first)

This helps with becoming clear of what should be implemented and how exactly the interface should be!

Raises level of detail and makes things technical.



Test driven development:

- Before implementing functionality, you write its interface and tests for it
- Unit test are automated, and run every time new code is checked in

Indicator of quality all the time!



#### Test driven development:

- Before implementing functionality, you write its interface and tests for it
- Unit test are automated, and run every time new code is checked in
- Everybody is responsible for fixing broken code (code which results in failed tests)

100% success rate (for unit tests) is the norm!



Two sources of tests:

#### Programmers:

Unit tests for everything which potentially or likely could be wrong (or which went wrong at a some time)

 Customers (maybe implemented by programmer): Functional tests for user stories

Example: When someone moves in front of the Qmotion, the Q-plug is switched on; after a while without motion, the Q-plug is switched of! Tip: For testing complex user stories with hardware, it might be worthwhile to realize an emulator for hardware devices!  $\rightarrow$  slide 60/61





Two sources of tests:

#### Programmers:

Unit tests for everything which potentially or likely could be wrong (or which went wrong at a some time)

 Customers (maybe implemented by programmer): Functional tests for user stories

Functional / integration tests fail for some time. But should be eventually fixed. Functional tests might not run all the time; but, someone ( $\rightarrow$  roles: tester) is responsible for running the tests an a regular basis.



Unit tests and functional tests are the most important tests. But, others might be relevant

- Parallel tests (compare an old and a new system)
- Stress test (performance)
- Monkey test (unexpected input)

. . .



#### Problem:

When hardware and devices are involved, it is difficult to test some functionality automatically:

Example:

rule engine: when CO2 level rises above 1000ppm, eventually the window is opened and the thermostat is set to "\*" (or 4 degrees)

How do you make the CO2 level rise above 1000ppm by a test?

## Solution

For testing such functionality, implementing an emulator of hardware (in the case of the example from SE2 2019, a Raspberry Pi) with some sensors and actuators, which can be set manually (via a graphical interface) or programmatically (via an API), might help.

This year, it could be an emulator simulating the upload of new car data?