Weekplan: Union Find
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Reading


Exercises

1. **Run Union Find by Hand**  
   Look at the following sequence of operations: \texttt{INIT}(7), \texttt{UNION}(3, 4), \texttt{UNION}(5, 0), \texttt{UNION}(4, 5), \texttt{UNION}(4, 3), \texttt{UNION}(0, 1), \texttt{UNION}(2, 6), \texttt{UNION}(0, 4) and \texttt{UNION}(6, 0).

   1.1 \([w]\) Run the sequence of operations using quick find by hand. Show the contents of the id array after every step. Assume the \texttt{UNION}(i, j) operation always updates id for the set given by i.

   1.2 \([w]\) Run the sequence using quick union by hand. Show the trees after every step. Assume \texttt{UNION}(i, j) always sets the root of the tree given by i to be a child of the root of the tree given by j.

   1.3 Run the sequence using weighted quick union by hand. Show the trees after very step. Assume \texttt{UNION}(i, j) sets the root of the tree given by i to be a child of the root of the tree given by j when the sizes of two trees are equal.

   1.4 Show the result of path compression after a \texttt{FIND}(x) operation, where x is respectively a leaf, an internal node of depth 1, and an internal node of height 1, in one of the trees from the above exercises.

   1.5 Give a sequence of operations that results in a tree of maximal depth using quick union.

   1.6 Give a sequence of operations that results in a tree of maximal depth using weighted quick union.

   1.7 Write pseudo code for a algorithm to do path compression. *Hint:* traverse the path twice.

2. **Alternative to the Quick Find Algorithm**  
   One of your fellow students suggests the following intuitive variant of quick find \texttt{UNION}. Does it work?

   \[
   \texttt{UNION}(i, j) \\
   \text{if } \texttt{FIND}(i) \neq \texttt{FIND}(j) \text{ then} \\
   \text{for } k = 0 \text{ to } n - 1 \text{ do} \\
   \text{if } \texttt{id}[k] == \texttt{id}[i] \text{ then} \\
   \text{\hspace{1cm}} \texttt{id}[k] = \texttt{id}[j] \\
   \text{end if} \\
   \text{end for} \\
   \text{end if}
   \]

3. **Dynamic Connected Components and Graph Search**  
   Using graph search (DFS or BFS) we can find the connected components of a graph. Give a simple solution for dynamic connected component using graph search and compare the complexity with the solutions based on union find.

4. **Implementation of Union Find**  
   We want to implement data structures for union find that supports \texttt{INIT}, \texttt{UNION}, and \texttt{FIND}.

   4.1 \([\text{BEng}^+]\) Implement quick find.

   4.2 \([\dagger]\) Implement quick union.

   4.3 \([\dagger]\) Extend the solution with weighted quick union.

   4.4 \([\dagger]\) Extend the solution with path compression.
5  [*] Zombie Invasion  In the post apocalyptic zombie world you and a small group of survivors have barricaded
yourself in a small building. The only thing keeping the brutal zombies from eating you is a strong fortification. The
fortification consists of a $k \times k$ grid of walls. Here illustrated by a $6 \times 6$ grid of walls.\footnote{Pictures from "Død sno", 2009.}

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{zombie_grid.png}
\caption{Zombie fortification grid.}
\end{figure}

In the top of the grid the zombies are waiting to come in, and you and your group is located in the bottom. Un-
fortunately, the walls are weak and collapse regularly. If a path of walls between the top and the bottom of the grid is
collapsed the zombies can get in and eat you. In order to start evacuation you want to monitor if there currently is a
path through the fortification (from top to bottom). Give a data structure that can efficiently keep track of this while the
walls are collapsing one by one.

6  [*] Recursive Path Compression  Write pseudo code for a recursive algorithm for path compression. \textit{Hint}: it can
be done with only few lines of code.

7  Union Find using Linked Lists and Weights  We want to implement a variant of quick find using linked lists in the
following way. Each set is represented by a singly linked list. The representative for a set is the first element in the list and
each element in the list has a pointer to the representative. Furthermore we maintain a pointer to the tail of the list.
For instance, the data structure for the set $\{1, 4, 7, 8, 14\}$ with representative 7 could look like this:

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{linked_list.png}
\caption{Linked list representation of sets.}
\end{figure}

7.1 Using the representation, show how to implement $\textsc{Init}(n)$ in $O(n)$ time, $\textsc{Find}(i)$ in $O(1)$ time and $\textsc{Union}(i, j)$ in
$O(|S(i)|)$ time, where $S(i)$ is the set containing $i$.

7.2 Show how to extend the solution such that $\textsc{Init}$ and $\textsc{Find}$ runs in the same time, but the time for $\textsc{Union}(i, j)$ is
$O(\min(|S(i)|, |S(j)|))$. \textit{Hint}: maintain a little extra information.

7.3 [*] Show that for $p$ $\textsc{Find}$ and $m$ $\textsc{Union}$ operations on $n$ elements the above solution gives the running time $O(p + m \log n)$. 